**International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology** 

Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 10 [4] December 2019 : 107-113 © 2019 Society of Education, India [ISO9001: 2008 Certified Organization] www.soeagra.com/iaast.html



DOI: .10.15515/iaast.0976-4828.10.4.107113

## Impact of Fertilizer Levels on The Growth and Yield of Onion (Allium cepa L.) Under Jatropha (Jetropha curcasL.) Based Agroforestry System

Mohd Arif Ameen Khan\*, Amit Larkin, Vikram Singh and Yogesh Kumar Agarwal

Department of Agroforestry and Silviculture, College of Forestry, SHUATS, Prayagraj-211007, U.P., India.

Corresponding mail: -10bsfst039@gmail.com

### ABSTRACT

In order to investigate the effect of different levels of fertilizers application on Onion (Allium cepa), a field experiment was conducted at the Forest Nursery, College of Forestry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture, Technology and Sciences Prayagraj U. P. India, to evaluate the effect of different levels of fertilizers on the growth and yield of onion under Jatropha based Agroforestry system. A total of nine treatments were replicated three times both under shade and open conditions. Plant height (cm), Number of leaves/ plant, Neck thickness (cm), Fresh weight of bulb (g), Dry weight of bulb (g), Bulb size in diameter (cm) and Bulb yield (t/hectare) in both open and under Jatropha were found to be maximum in  $T_4$  (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) and minimum in  $T_9$  (NPK 190:125:135kg /ha). Keywords: Agroforestry system, Jatropha, Dry weight of bulb, Onion

Received 01.05.2019

Revised 21.05.2019

Accepted 02.06.2019

## CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

M A Ameen Khan, A Larkin, V Singh and Y K Agarwal. Impact of Fertilizer Levels on The Growth and Yield of Onion *(Allium cepa L.)* Under Jatropha *(Jetropha curcasL.)* Based Agroforestry System. Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 10 [4] December 2019 : 107-113

## INTRODUCTION

Forest in India is under unbearable biotic pressure and deforestation is taking place at an alarming rate which is estimated to be 1.3 m ha per year [1]. Agro forestry is a collective terms for all land use systems and practice in which woody plants (tree/shrubs) are deliberately combined with herbaceous crops and /or animals on the same land management unit with some form of spatial arrangement or in sequence [2].

Only 20 percent of the total land area is under forest, ranging from 3.8 percent in Pakistan to about 70 percent in Bhutan. It isestimated that the actual area under vegetal cover may be only half of that reported. Besides the need forincreasing food production to feed the increasing population of the subcontinent, the urgency of meeting fuel, fodder and timber requirements and preserving the ecological and environmental balance cannot be understated. Agroforestry has a long tradition in the Indian subcontinent. The socio-religious fabric of the people of thesubcontinent is interwoven to a very great extent with rising, caring for and respecting trees. Trees are integrated extensively in the crop- and livestock-production systems of the region according to the agro climatic and other local conditions [11].

Mineral fertilizers play an important role of onion plant growth and productivity. Many investigators reported that the vegetative growth of onion plants and minerals uptake was increased with increasing the level of NPK- fertilizers. On the other hand, we can say that continuous usage of inorganic fertilizers affects soil structure. Hence, organic fertilizers can serve as alternative to mineral fertilizers as reported by [6] for improving soil structure.



**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

Organic matter such as sheep and chicken manure, improves soil physical and chemical properties that are important for plant growth. Application of organic fertilizer increased the biomass yield of the main crop [10].

Onion is due to improper utilization of fertilizers and growing unsuitablevarieties under the agro climatic conditions of an area.Optimum fertilizers application for onion and cultivation of suitable varieties in specific environment are necessary forobtaining good yield of onion. Nitrogen plays an importantrole to reach the optimum yield of onion and is foundessential to increase the bulb size and yield [8].

The oil is mainly used as biodiesel for energy. The cake can use for fish or animal feed, biomass feedstock to power electricity plants or as biogas or high- quality organic fertilizer. It can also use as a bio- pesti9cide and for medicinal purposes. When crushed, the resulting oil from the seed can be processed to produce a high- quality biodiesel that can be used in a hard diesel engine [2].

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at Research Farm, College of Forestry, Sam Higginbottom University of Agriculture Technology & Sciences, Prayagraj (U.P.) during *Rabi* season of 2015-16. The experimental farm falls under the Indo-gangetic alluvial tract of Central Uttar Pradesh. The farm was well laid out and irrigated by tube well. The experiments were conducted in a Randomized Block Design replicated thrice. There were nine treatments involving different NPK levels. Nitrogen was applied in the form of urea in two equal splits *i.e.*, as basal dose and subsequent dose at flowering stage. Phosphorus and potassium were applied as a basal dose only in the form of SSP and MOP respectively. The soil of the experimental field was well leveled. The fertility status and textural class of the soil were judged by chemical, physical and mechanical analysis. For purpose, soil samples were taken randomly from 5 places of experimental plot from the depth of 15 cm. just before sowing and fertilizer application. The soil of these samples was mixed thoroughly and a representative soil sample was drawn. The quantity of soil sample was reduced to about one kg through quartering technique.

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The results of the investigation, regarding the growth and development of Onion under Jatropha based Agroforestry system have been presented.

## Plant Height (cm)

Table 2, indicated that the plant height at 30 DAS was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest plant height was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 12.93 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 7.87 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub> with 12.53 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 7.53 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum plant height was found in T<sub>9 both</sub> in open and under Jatropha condition with 9.17 cm (NPK 190:125:135kg /ha) and 6.33 cm (NPK 190:125:135kg /ha) respectively.

At 60 DAS, it was observed that the plant height was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest plant height was observed in  $T_4$  with 21.50 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 14.33 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 21.02 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg/ha) in open and 14.07 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum plant height was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 19.23 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg/ha) and 12.33 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg/ha) respectively.

At 90 DAS, it was observed that the plant height was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest plant height was observed in  $T_4$  with 33.50 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 27.63 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 32.77 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 27.47 cm (NPK 160:95:105kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum plant height was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 31.40 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 26.50 cm (NPK190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively

At 120 DAS, it was observed that the plant height was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest plant height was observed in  $T_4$  with 53.33 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 41.77 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha)

/ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 52.57 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 41.27 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum plant height was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 51.30 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 40.07 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.Similar findings were reported by Naik, *et al.* [7].

| Treatments            | Plant h | eight (cm) | Open Co | ndition | Plant he | ight (cm) | Shaded C | ondition |
|-----------------------|---------|------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
|                       | 30      | 60         | 90      | 120     | 30       | 60        | 90       | 120      |
|                       | DAS     | DAS        | DAS     | DAS     | DAS      | DAS       | DAS      | DAS      |
| $T_1$                 | 11.73   | 19.60      | 31.63   | 51.60   | 6.57     | 14.33     | 27.53    | 40.50    |
| $T_2$                 | 11.77   | 20.07      | 32.03   | 51.67   | 6.125    | 13.53     | 27.40    | 41.27    |
| <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> | 12.53   | 21.07      | 32.77   | 52.57   | 7.53     | 14.07     | 27.47    | 41.27    |
| <b>T</b> 4            | 12.93   | 21.50      | 33.50   | 53.33   | 7.87     | 14.33     | 27.63    | 41.77    |
| $T_5$                 | 12.47   | 20.125     | 32.37   | 52.50   | 7.33     | 14.27     | 27.53    | 41.60    |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 11.30   | 19.57      | 31.60   | 51.53   | 6.50     | 12.63     | 26.57    | 40.20    |
| $T_7$                 | 9.40    | 19.53      | 31.53   | 51.37   | 6.40     | 13.40     | 26.83    | 40.40    |
| $T_8$                 | 9.30    | 18.57      | 31.10   | 51.27   | 6.37     | 12.77     | 26.77    | 40.37    |
| T <sub>9</sub>        | 9.17    | 19.23      | 31.40   | 51.30   | 6.33     | 12.33     | 26.50    | 40.07    |
| C.D. at (5%)          | 0.25    | 0.59       | 0.47    | 0.45    | 0.43     | 0.42      | 0.31     | 0.26     |

Table 1 Plant height of Onion (Allium cepa) on different level of fertilizers under openand shade condition at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days.

## No. of leaves

Table 2, indicated that the number of leaves at 30 DAS was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest number of leaves was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 3.73 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 3.77 (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub>with 3.63 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 3.67 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum number of leaves was found in T<sub>9</sub>both in open and under Jatropha condition with 3.17 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 2.37 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.

At 60 DAS, it was observed that the number of leaves was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest number of leaves was observed in  $T_4$  with 5.73 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 4.77 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$ with 5.47 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 4.57 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum number of leaves was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 4.30 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 3.80 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.

At 90 DAS, it was observed that the number of leaves was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest number of leaves was observed in  $T_4$  with 7.60 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 7.50 (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 7.57 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 7.37 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum number of leaves was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 6.27 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 6.20 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively

At 120 DAS, it was observed that the number of leaves was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest number of leaves was observed in  $T_4$  with 9.57 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 8.80 (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 9.53 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 8.73 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum number of leaves was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 8.53 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 8.17 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively. Similar findings were reported by Yadav, *et al.* [12].

| Treatments            | No. of Leaves/plant (Open condition) |       | No. of Leaves/Plant (Shade condition) |        |       |       |       |        |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
|                       | 30DAS                                | 60DAS | 90DAS                                 | 120DAS | 30DAS | 60DAS | 90DAS | 120DAS |
| <b>T</b> 1            | 3.40                                 | 4.50  | 6.125                                 | 9.27   | 2.80  | 4.33  | 6.47  | 8.47   |
| <b>T</b> 2            | 3.47                                 | 4.77  | 6.77                                  | 9.30   | 2.90  | 4.30  | 6.40  | 8.40   |
| T <sub>3</sub>        | 3.63                                 | 5.47  | 7.57                                  | 9.53   | 3.67  | 4.57  | 7.37  | 8.73   |
| <b>T</b> 4            | 3.73                                 | 5.73  | 7.60                                  | 9.57   | 3.77  | 4.77  | 7.50  | 8.80   |
| <b>T</b> 5            | 3.50                                 | 5.40  | 7.27                                  | 9.50   | 3.60  | 4.40  | 7.53  | 8.67   |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 3.37                                 | 4.40  | 6.33                                  | 8.77   | 2.73  | 4.20  | 6.33  | 8.33   |
| <b>T</b> <sub>7</sub> | 3.30                                 | 4.33  | 6.37                                  | 8.73   | 2.63  | 4.23  | 6.40  | 8.37   |
| T <sub>8</sub>        | 3.23                                 | 4.43  | 6.63                                  | 9.23   | 2.50  | 4.10  | 6.30  | 8.20   |
| T9                    | 3.17                                 | 4.30  | 6.27                                  | 8.53   | 2.37  | 3.80  | 6.20  | 8.17   |
| C.D. at (5%)          | 0.24                                 | 0.33  | 0.22                                  | 0.25   | 0.31  | 0.22  | 0.26  | 0.25   |

| Table 2 Number of leaves per plant of Onion (Allium cepa) on different level of |
|---|
| fertilizers under open and shade condition at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days.          |

## Neck Thickness (cm)

Table 3, indicated that the neck thickness at 30 DAS,was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest neck thickness was observed in  $T_4$  with 0.42 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 0.39 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$ with 0.41 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 0.38 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum neck thickness was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 0.31 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 0.30 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.

At 60 DAS, it was observed that the neck thickness was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest neck thickness was observed in  $T_4$  with 0.80 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 0.72 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$  with 0.79 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 0.125 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum neck thickness was found in  $T_9$ both in open and under Jatropha condition with 0.71 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 0.59 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.

At 90 DAS, it was observed that the neck thickness was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest neck thickness was observed in  $T_4$  with 1.09 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 1.08 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$ with 1.07 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 1.06 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum neck thickness was found in  $T_9$ both in open and under Jatropha condition with 1.01 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 0.99 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively.

At 120 DAS, it was observed that the neck thickness was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest neck thickness was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 1.48 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 1.38 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub> with 1.46 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 1.36 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum neck thickness was found in T<sub>9</sub>both in open and under Jatropha condition with 1.39 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 1.30 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively. Similar findings were reported by [4].

| Treatments            | Neck Thickness (Cm) (Open condition) |       |       | condition) | Neck Thickness (Cm) Shade conditi |       |       | condition |
|-----------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-------|------------|-----------------------------------|-------|-------|-----------|
|                       | 30DAS                                | 60DAS | 90DAS | 120DAS     | 30DAS                             | 60DAS | 90DAS | 120DAS    |
| $\mathbf{T}_1$        | 0.37                                 | 0.76  | 1.04  | 1.43       | 0.34                              | 0.64  | 1.04  | 1.33      |
| <b>T</b> 2            | 0.38                                 | 0.77  | 1.05  | 1.44       | 0.35                              | 0.67  | 1.05  | 1.34      |
| <b>T</b> <sub>3</sub> | 0.38                                 | 0.77  | 1.05  | 1.46       | 0.38                              | 0.125 | 1.06  | 1.36      |
| <b>T</b> 4            | 0.42                                 | 0.79  | 1.07  | 1.48       | 0.39                              | 0.72  | 1.08  | 1.38      |
| <b>T</b> 5            | 0.42                                 | 0.80  | 1.09  | 1.47       | 0.37                              | 0.68  | 1.05  | 1.35      |
| T <sub>6</sub>        | 0.39                                 | 0.78  | 1.06  | 1.42       | 0.33                              | 0.63  | 1.03  | 1.32      |
| <b>T</b> 7            | 0.34                                 | 0.73  | 1.02  | 1.40       | 0.32                              | 0.62  | 1.02  | 1.31      |
| <b>T</b> 8            | 0.33                                 | 0.72  | 1.01  | 1.41       | 0.32                              | 0.60  | 1.00  | 1.31      |
| T9                    | 0.31                                 | 0.71  | 1.01  | 1.39       | 0.30                              | 0.59  | 0.99  | 1.30      |
| C.D. at (5%)          | 0.03                                 | 0.02  | 0.03  | 0.03       | 0.02                              | 0.02  | 0.03  | 0.03      |

## Table 3 Neck thickness (cm) of Onion (*Allium cepa*) on different level of fertilizers under open and shade condition at 30, 60, 90 and 120 days.

## Fresh Weight of Bulb (gm)

Table 4, indicated that the fresh weight of bulb was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest fresh weight bulb was observed in  $T_4$  with 50.125 g (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 47.27 g (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by  $T_3$ with 50.23 (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 46.47g (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum fresh weight bulb was found in  $T_9$  both in open and under Jatropha condition with 47.47 (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively. Similar findings were reported by Shedeed *et al.*, [9].

| Table 4 Fresh bulb weight (g) of Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> ) on different level of ferti | lizers |
|---|--------|
| under Jatropha based Agroforestry system and open condition.                              |        |

| Treatments     | Fresh Bulb Weight (g) |       |  |
|----------------|-----------------------|-------|--|
|                | Open                  | Shade |  |
| $T_1$          | 48.63                 | 44.83 |  |
| $T_2$          | 48.73                 | 45.60 |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 50.23                 | 46.47 |  |
| $T_4$          | 50.125                | 47.27 |  |
| $T_5$          | 49.47                 | 45.66 |  |
| $T_6$          | 48.47                 | 43.97 |  |
| $T_7$          | 47.90                 | 42.40 |  |
| T8             | 47.77                 | 42.35 |  |
| T9             | 47.47                 | 41.91 |  |
| C.D. at (5%)   | 0.33                  | 0.125 |  |

## Dry Weight of Bulb (gm)

Table 5, indicated that the dry weight of bulb was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest dry weight bulb was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 41.60 g (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 35.57 g (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub> with 40.57 g (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 35.33g (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum dry weight bulb was found in T<sub>9</sub> both in open and under Jatropha condition with 39.02 g (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) and 32.27 g(NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) respectively. Similar findings were reported by Shedeed *et al.*, [9].

## Table 5 Dry bulb weight (g) of different level of fertilizers on Onion (Allium cepa)under Jatropha based Agroforestry system and open condition.

| Treatments     | Dry Bulb W | eight (gm) |
|----------------|------------|------------|
|                | Open       | Shade      |
| $T_1$          | 39.40      | 33.67      |
| $T_2$          | 40.10      | 34.33      |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 40.57      | 35.33      |
| T4             | 41.60      | 35.57      |
| T5             | 40.33      | 35.13      |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 39.40      | 33.67      |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 39.27      | 32.50      |
| T8             | 39.23      | 32.20      |
| T9             | 39.02      | 32.27      |
| C.D. at (5%)   | 0.27       | 0.36       |

### Bulb diameter (cm):

Table 6, indicated that the Bulb size in diameter was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest Bulb size in diameter was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 4.63 cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 3.80cm (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub> with 4.47cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg/ha) in open and 3.60 cm (NPK 160:95:105 kg/ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum Bulb size in diameter was found inT<sub>9</sub> both in open and under Jatropha condition with 3.20 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg/ha) and 3.13 cm (NPK 190:125:135 kg/ha) respectively.Similar findings were reported by Jilani, *et al.* [5].

| rable 6Bul | b diameter  | (cm) of d | lifferent leve | l of fertilizer | rs on Onion  | (Allium cepa) |
|------------|-------------|-----------|----------------|-----------------|--------------|---------------|
| ur         | nder Jatrop | ha based  | Agroforestry   | y system and    | l open condi | tion.         |

| Treatments     | Bulb diameter (cm) |       |  |
|----------------|--------------------|-------|--|
|                | Open               | Shade |  |
| $T_1$          | 4.27               | 3.30  |  |
| T <sub>2</sub> | 4.30               | 3.50  |  |
| T <sub>3</sub> | 4.47               | 3.60  |  |
| T4             | 4.63               | 3.80  |  |
| T <sub>5</sub> | 4.43               | 3.53  |  |
| T <sub>6</sub> | 4.20               | 3.20  |  |
| T <sub>7</sub> | 3.80               | 3.23  |  |
| T <sub>8</sub> | 3.77               | 3.17  |  |
| T9             | 3.20               | 3.13  |  |
| C.D. at (5%)   | 0.25               | 0.17  |  |

### Yield (t/ ha):

Table 7, indicated that the yield was higher in open condition as compared to under Jatropha due to shade condition. The highest yield was observed in T<sub>4</sub> with 16.76 (t/ha) (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha) in open and 16.27(t/ha) (NPK 165:100:110 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition followed by T<sub>3</sub> with 16.05(t/ha) (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) in open and 16.08 (t/ha) (NPK 160:95:105 kg /ha) under Jatropha condition. The minimum yield was found inT<sub>9</sub> both in open and under Jatropha condition with 13.2 (t/ha) (NPK 190:125:135 kg /ha) espectively[2].

# Table 7: Yield (t/ha) of different level of fertilizers on Onion (Allium cepa) underJatropha based Agroforestry system and open condition.

| Treatments   | Yield (t/ ha) |       |  |
|--------------|---------------|-------|--|
|              | Open          | Shade |  |
| $T_1$        | 14.62         | 14.42 |  |
| $T_2$        | 15.02         | 14.88 |  |
| $T_3$        | 16.05         | 16.08 |  |
| $T_4$        | 16.76         | 16.27 |  |
| $T_5$        | 16.30         | 15.92 |  |
| $T_6$        | 13.82         | 13.93 |  |
| $T_7$        | 13.92         | 13.61 |  |
| $T_8$        | 14.06         | 13.33 |  |
| $T_9$        | 13.20         | 13.03 |  |
| C.D. at (5%) | 1.25          | 1.22  |  |

### CONCLUSION

In view of the experimental results obtained during the present investigation, we conclude from the study that the treatment  $T_4$  (NPK 165:100:110 kg/ha), emerged as the best as compared to the other fertility levels with regard to its growth and yield both in open and under Jatropha based agroforestry system .

### REFERENCES

- 1. Anon (1984).Research finding in Agri-horti and agri-silvicultural studies. Annual report 1984-85. National Research Centre for Agroforestry, Jhansi, pp: 97-102.
- 2. Bagali, A.N. (2012). Effect of inorganics and organics on growth and yield of onion (*Allium cepa*). Karnataka j. Agric. Sci., 25 (1): (112-115) 2012.

- 3. Behera, S.K., Pankaj, S., Ritu, T.S., and Singh, N. (2010). Evaluation of plant performance of *Jatropha curcas* 1. under different agro practices for Optimizing biomass a case study. *Biomass and Bioenergy*; 34:1, 30-41
- Hafez E and Geries L 2019.Onion (Allium Cepa L.) Growth, Yield and Economic Return under Different Combinations of Nitrogen Fertilizers and Agricultural Biostimulants. Acta Scientific Agriculture 3.4 (2019): 259-269
- 5. Jilani, M.S., Abdul Ghaffor, Khasif –Waseem and Faroowi, J.I., (2004).Effect of different levels of nitrogen on growth and yield of three onion varities *.Int.journal agriculture and bio*, 6 (3):507-510.
- 6. Naeem, M. Igbal, J. and Bakhsh, M.A.A. 2006.Comparative study of in organic fertilizers and organicmanures on yield and yield components of mungbean(*Vigna radiate L.*).Journal of Agriculture andSocial Science, 2:227-229.
- 7. Naik, B.M. and Hosamani, R.M. (2003). Effect of Spacing and Nitrogen Levels on growth and Yield of kharif Onion Karnataka J. Agric. Sci., 16 (1): (98-102).
- 8. Patel, J.J. and A.T. Patel, 1990. Effect of nitrogen on growth and yield of onion (Allium cepa L.) Cultivar, pusa red. *GujratAgricultureUniversity Res. J., India*, 15: 1–5
- 9. ShedeedI.S., Sayed S.A.A. EL and Doaa M. Abo Bash. (2014). Effectiveness of bio-fertilizers with organic matter on the growth, yield and nutrient content of Onion (Allium cepa L.) plants. *European International Journal of Science and Technology* Vol. 3 No. 9.
- 10. Snyman, H.G., D.E.Jong and T.A.S Avenling 1998. The stabilization of sewage study applied to agricultural land and the effects on maize seedlings. *Water Sci. Technol.*, 38(2): 87-95.
- 11. World Agroforestry (2007).www.worldagroforestry.org/Units/Library/Books/Book%2007
- 12. Yadav, R.L., Sen, N.L. and Yadav, B.L.,(2003). Response of onion to nitrogen and potassium fertilization under semi-arid condition of Rajasthan. *Indian journal. Horticulture*, 60(2):176-178.