**International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology** 

Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 10 [3] September 2019 : 59-62 © 2019 Society of Education, India [ISO9001: 2008 Certified Organization] www.soeagra.com/iaast.html

# **CODEN: IAASCA**

DOI: .10.15515/iaast.0976-4828.10.3.5962

# Effect of Weed Management Practices in Rainfed Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.)

D. R. Namdeo, H. S. Kushwaha and S. P. Vishwakarma\*

Department of Agronomy, M. G. C. G. V. V. Chitrakoot, Faculty of Agriculture Satna, Madhya Pradesh 485 331

\*Department of Agronomy, Kulbhashkar Ashram P. G. College, Allahabad, Uttar Pradesh 211 001

### ABSTRACT

A field experiment was conducted during the winter season of 2005-06 at the Rajaula Research Farm, Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Gramodaya Vishwavidyalaya, Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.) to find out the most effective weed control method in controlling weeds in rainfed chickpea (Cicer arietinum L.). Pre emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha + hand weeding at 30 days crop stage recorded minimum weed intensity, dry matter/plant and maximum weed control efficiency, yield attributes and yields followed by pre emergence application of Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha, respectively but the values of these two treatments were statistically on par. However, maximum net return/rupee investment was calculated in treatment where application of Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha as pre-emergence was done. In treatments where Fluchloralin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha was applied as pre plant incorporation resulted poorest performance. The treatment one hand weeding at 30 days or 60 days after sowing and the treatments combined with two hand weedings at 30 and 60 days after sowing gave significantly inferior weed control efficiency. Weed control efficiencies were highest in treatments where Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha and it was found minimum in the treatments where one hand weeding was done at 30 days stage of crop.

Keywords : Hand weeding, weedicides, weed control efficiency, crop weed competition.

Received 22.03.2019

Revised 23.04.2019

Accepted 02.05.2019

## CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

D. R. Namdeo, H. S. Kushwaha and S. P. Vishwakarma. Effect of Weed Management Practices in Rainfed Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 10 [3] September 2019 : 59-62

### INTRODUCTION

Chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) is one of the most important pulse crop in India contributing about 34% of total production of grain legumes (Asthana & Chaturvedi, 1999). Madhya Pradesh is leading state in the country with 2.63 m ha area, 2.34 m. tones production and an average of 887 Kg/ha productivity, respectively [1]. However, it is major rabi crop of the state and productivity is far low as compared with production potential on the experimental stations. The crop is raised mainly on conserved moisture. The yield level of farmers field is very low due to poor management practices and heavy infestation of weeds in the early stage of crop is a major cause, which drastically reduced the crop yield. i.e. 30-50 % [8]. However, meagre information is available to control weed flora in chickpea in this region. Therefore, present investigation was undertaken to find out the effective weed management practice in chickpea.

### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during rabi season of 2005-06 at Rajaula Research Farm of M. G. C. G. V. V, Chitrakoot, Satna (M.P.). The soil was sandy loam containing



**ORIGINAL ARTICLE** 

#### Namdeo *et al*

o.39% organic carbon, 8.2 Kg/ha available P and 265 Kg/ha available K, with 7.4 pH. Chickpea cultivar 'Pusa-256' was sown using 100 Kg seed /ha. A total of thirteen treatments including weedy-check, weed free, hand weeding at 30 days, 60 days, and four weedicides were tried alone and combining with one hand weeding at 30 days. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. Among the weedicides Fluchloralin @ 1.00 Kg a.i./ha was well incorporated in field before 24 hours of the seed sowing. Remaining three other weedicides viz. Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20 Kg a.i./ha,

Oxadiazon @ 0.75 Kg a.i./ha and Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha were applied as preemergence after 3 days of sowing (DAS) with Knapsack sprayer to study the effect of weedicides on the crop. Three plants from each plot were randomly selected for study weed population/m<sup>2</sup>, weed dry weight/m<sup>2</sup> and weed control efficiency. Effective pods/plant, seed/pod, seed yield/plant (g) and 1000-grain weight (g) were computed after harvesting of the crop.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

### Effect on weeds

The data mentioned in table-1 revealed that higher number of weeds/m<sup>2</sup> were recorded in early stage of crop and it was gradually decreased with advancement of the crop age in various treated plots. The highest weed density was in weed check plots up to 90 days crop stage due to no adoption of any weed control measure. It was noticed that weed population was increased up to 60 days stage of the crop in Fluchloralin, Oxyfluorfen and Pendimethalin treated plots. It might be due to loss of the efficacy of these weedicides after 30 days of their application. However, it was noticed in all the treatments of weedicides combined with hand weeding at 30 days crop stage, weed population was drastically reduced at 60 to 90 days stages of the crop [6]. It might be due to one hand weeding at 30 days stage of crop by destroying a significant number of weeds and avoids crop weed competition. The results are in line with the findings of [7].

| Treatments  | Weed population at $/m^2$ |       |       | Weed dry wt. $(g/m^2)$ at |       |       | W C E at |       |       |
|---|---------------------------|-------|-------|---------------------------|-------|-------|----------|-------|-------|
|   | 30                        | 60    | 90    | 30                        | 60    | 90    | 30       | 60    | 90    |
|   | days                      | days  | days  | days                      | days  | days  | days     | days  | days  |
| T <sub>1</sub> -Weedy check   | 16.33                     | 22.00 | 23.00 | 4.10                      | 6.51  | 4.60  | 00.00    | 00.00 | 00.00 |
| T <sub>2</sub> -Weed Free   | 00.00                     | 00.00 | 00.00 | 00.00                     | 00.00 | 00.00 | 100.0    | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| T <sub>3</sub> -HW at 30 DAS  | 15.00                     | 9.00  | 7.33  | 3.63                      | 3.90  | 3.16  | 11.4     | 40.9  | 31.3  |
| T <sub>4</sub> -HW at 60 DAS  | 13.23                     | 18.00 | 6.33  | 3.13                      | 4.43  | 3.06  | 23.5     | 31.9  | 33.5  |
| T5-HW at 30 & 60 DAS  | 13.0                      | 10.00 | 3.66  | 3.08                      | 3.53  | 2.50  | 24.8     | 45.8  | 45.6  |
| T <sub>6</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.00<br>Kg a.i/ha as PPI                     | 10.00                     | 13.00 | 3.33  | 3.41                      | 4.36  | 3.51  | 16.8     | 33.0  | 23.7  |
| T <sub>7</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.0Kg<br>a.i/ha as PPI + HW at<br>30 DAS     | 9.00                      | 6.00  | 2.66  | 2.98                      | 4.03  | 2.47  | 27.3     | 38.5  | 46.3  |
| T <sub>8</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20<br>Kg a.i/ha as P E                      | 13.66                     | 15.33 | 3.00  | 2.90                      | 4.36  | 2.71  | 29.9     | 33.0  | 41.0  |
| T <sub>9</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20<br>Kg a.i/ha as PE+ HW at<br>30 DAS      | 14.00                     | 5.00  | 2.66  | 2.83                      | 3.93  | 2.56  | 30.9     | 39.6  | 44.3  |
| T <sub>10</sub> - Oxadiazon @ 0.75<br>Kg a.i/ha as Pr. Em.                  | 8.33                      | 8.00  | 6.66  | 2.30                      | 4.03  | 3.50  | 43.9     | 38.1  | 23.9  |
| T <sub>11</sub> -Oxadiazon @ 0.75<br>Kg a.i/ha as Pr. Em. +<br>HW at 30 DAS | 9.00                      | 5.00  | 4.33  | 2.28                      | 3.86  | 3.00  | 46.4     | 40.7  | 34.8  |
| T <sub>12</sub> -Pendimethalin @ 1.0<br>0 Kg a.i/ha as P E                  | 4.33                      | 5.33  | 3.66  | 2.30                      | 2.50  | 2.28  | 43.9     | 61.6  | 50.4  |
| T <sub>13</sub> -Pendimethalin @<br>1.00 Kg a.i/ha as P E+<br>HW at 30 DAS  | 4.66                      | 3.00  | 2.00  | 2.28                      | 2.33  | 1.81  | 44.4     | 64.2  | 60.6  |
| CD (P=0.05)   | 1.01                      | 0.89  | 0.98  | 0.31                      | 0.45  | 0.32  |          |       |       |

**Table 1** – Effect of weed management practices on weed population, weed dry weight and weed control efficiency in chickpea (*Cicer arietnum* L.) at different growth stages.

Maximum weed dry matter was recorded in  $T_1$  weedy check plot and minimum in  $T_{13}$ Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha + hand weeding at 30 DAS and followed by treated with  $T_{12}$ 

#### Namdeo *et al*

Pendimethalin at all the stages of crop. The above trend might be due to impact of control measures on reduction of weed population in respective treatments. These results are accordance with the findings of [1].

It was also observed that the lowest weed control efficiency was in  $T_3$  hand weeding at 30 DAS plots while higher in the treatments of weedicides combined with hand weedings at 30 days crop age. Weedicides alone gave intermediate values of weed control efficiency most probably due to intermediate weed density and weed dry weight in respective treatments. The maximum weed control efficiency was computed in the treatments of @1.00 kg a. i./ha  $T_{13}$  Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha + hand weeding at 30 DAS of the crop. It might be due to good combined effect of this treatment that cause significant reduction in weed population as well as weed dry weight [5].

| Treatments   | No. of | No. of | 1000-   | Seed      | Grain yield | Straw   |
|--|--------|--------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------|
|  | pods/  | seeds/ | grain   | yield/    | (Kg/ha)     | vield   |
|  | plant  | pod    | Wt. (g) | plant (g) |             | (Řg/ha) |
| T <sub>1</sub> -Weedy check  | 37.0   | 1.23   | 135.0   | 27.6      | 1334        | 2569    |
| T <sub>2</sub> -Weed Free  | 70.3   | 1.53   | 163.3   | 49.0      | 1732        | 3225    |
| T <sub>3</sub> -HW at 30 DAS   | 59.2   | 1.40   | 150.0   | 32.6      | 1555        | 2796    |
| T <sub>4</sub> -HW at 60 DAS   | 53.9   | 1.30   | 145.0   | 36.6      | 1490        | 2778    |
| T <sub>5</sub> -HW at 30 & 60 DAS  | 59.3   | 1.40   | 150.0   | 34.0      | 1440        | 2556    |
| T <sub>6</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.00 Kg<br>a.i/ha as PPI                    | 46.6   | 1.30   | 153.3   | 36.6      | 1463        | 2778    |
| T <sub>7</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.0Kg<br>a.i/ha as PPI + HW at 30<br>DAS    | 46.9   | 1.30   | 160.3   | 36.6      | 1465        | 3056    |
| T <sub>8</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20<br>Kga.i/ha as P E                      | 52.2   | 1.30   | 156.4   | 38.3      | 1574        | 2834    |
| T <sub>9</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20 Kg<br>a.i/ha as P E + HW at 30<br>DAS   | 53.2   | 1.33   | 156.6   | 41.6      | 1575        | 2859    |
| T <sub>10</sub> - Oxadiazon @ 0.75 Kg<br>a.i/ha as P E                     | 50.0   | 1.26   | 161.3   | 40.6      | 1519        | 2908    |
| T <sub>11</sub> - Oxadiazon @ 0.75 Kg<br>a.i/ha as P E + HW at 30<br>DAS   | 50.7   | 1.26   | 159.6   | 46.0      | 1629        | 2945    |
| T <sub>12</sub> -Pendimethalin @ 1.0<br>Kg a.i/ha as P E                   | 65.3   | 1.50   | 160.3   | 47.0      | 1600        | 3037    |
| T <sub>13</sub> -Pendimethalin @ 1.0<br>Kg a.i/ha as P E + HW at<br>30 DAS | 70.3   | 1.50   | 161.6   | 47.0      | 1666        | 3155    |

| Table 2 : Effect of weed management practices on yield attributes, grain and straw yield of |
|---|
| chickpea ( <i>C. arietinum</i> L.).   |

### Effect on yield attributes and yields

Maximum number of yield attributes viz. number of pods/plant, number of seeds/pod, 1000- grain weight and seed yield/plant was recorded in  $T_2$  weed free plots and minimum in  $T_1$  weedy check plots. Similarly, the yield components like pods/plant, seeds/pod, 1000-grain weight and seed yield/ plant were also higher in hand weeded and treated plots against weedy check plots. However, seeds/pod, 1000- grain weight, seed yield/plant and straw yield was found statistically at par in control and treated plots except pods/plant, which was significantly higher in weedicides treated plots (Table-2). Maximum number of pods/plant was counted in  $T_2$  weed free plots and plots of  $T_{13}$  Pendimethalin @1.00 kg a.i./ha + hand weeding at 30 DAS of crop. However, least number of seeds/pod was observed in  $T_1$  control plots. Ahuja *et al* [2] also reported similar results.

Maximum grain yield (1732 Kg/ha) was obtained in  $T_2$  weed free plots which was significantly higher over  $T_1$  weedy check,  $T_5$  hand weeding at 30 and 60 days,  $T_7$ Fluchloralin + hand weeding at 30 DAS. And remained treatments were statistically at par with  $T_2$  weed free treatments. Minimum grain yield of chickpea was recorded in  $T_1$  weedy check plots. Among weed control methods,  $T_{13}$  Pendimethalin @1.00 kg a.i./ha + hand weeding 30 DAS produced highest grain yield (1666 Kg/ha) followed by  $T_{11}$  Oxadiazon + hand weeding at 30 DAS (1629 Kg/ha) and  $T_{12}$  Pendimethalin (1600 Kg/ha) which were significantly higher over  $T_1$  control and rest of treatments were statistically at par with  $T_{13}$ ,  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{12}$  (Table- 2). Grain yield of  $T_{13}$ ,  $T_{11}$  and  $T_{12}$  treatments recorded by 24.88%, 22.11%

#### Namdeo *et al*

and 19.94%, respectively more over  $T_1$  control. This might be due to higher weed control efficiencies of these treatments at 30 days stage of crop, which avoided crop and weed competition at this most critical stage. Similar observations were made by Singh and Sahu [4].

| Treatments   | Cost of cultivation | Gross returns<br>(Rs/ha) | Net returns<br>(Rs/ha) | Return/rupee<br>investment |
|--|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
|  | (Rs/ha)             |                          |                        |                            |
| T <sub>1</sub> -Weedy check  | 8202                | 19099                    | 10897                  | 0.20                       |
| T <sub>2</sub> -Weed Free  | 1516                | 27786                    | 12620                  | 1.80                       |
| T <sub>3</sub> -HW at 30 DAS   | 8899                | 19771                    | 10872                  | 2.20                       |
| T <sub>4</sub> -HW at 60 DAS   | 8899                | 22167                    | 13268                  | 2.50                       |
| T5-HW at 30 & 60 DAS   | 9574                | 20298                    | 10725                  | 2.10                       |
| T <sub>6</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha as PPI                   | 8765                | 20950                    | 12185                  | 2.40                       |
| T <sub>7</sub> -Fluchloralin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha as<br>PPI + HW at 30 DAS | 9461                | 21183                    | 11722                  | 2.20                       |
| T <sub>8</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20 Kga.i/ha as P<br>E                  | 8507                | 22445                    | 13938                  | 2.60                       |
| T <sub>9</sub> -Oxyfluorfen @ 0.20 Kg a.i/ha as<br>P E + HW at 30 DAS  | 9204                | 22471                    | 13267                  | 2.40                       |
| T <sub>10</sub> - Oxadiazon @ 0.75 Kg a.i/ha as<br>P E                 | 8597                | 21768                    | 13171                  | 2.50                       |
| T <sub>11</sub> - Oxadiazon @ 0.75 Kg a.i/ha as<br>P E + HW at 30 DAS  | 9294                | 23238                    | 13944                  | 2.50                       |
| T <sub>12</sub> -Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha as P E                 | 8674                | 22821                    | 14147                  | 2.60                       |
| T <sub>13</sub> -Pendimethalin @ 1.00 Kg a.i/ha as P E + HW at 30 DAS  | 9465                | 23866                    | 144 01                 | 2.50                       |
| CD (P = 0.05)  |                     |                          | 3572.00                |                            |

Table 3: Effect of weed management practices on economics of chickpea(Cicer arietinum L).

### Economics

Maximum cost of cultivation (Rs.9465/ha) was calculated in  $T_{13}$  Pendimethalin @ 1.00 kg a.i./ha + hand weeding at 30 DAS which produced significantly higher net return (Rs. 14401/ha) over  $T_1$  weedy check and  $T_5$  hand weeding at 30 and 60 DAS treatments only. The application of Pendimethalin @ 1.00 kg a.i./ha alone ( $T_{12}$ ) gave maximum returns per rupee investment (2.60) and little lower net returns (Rs. 14147 /ha), which is statistically at par with  $T_{13}$ . It might be due to less cost of cultivation and comparatively higher grain and straw yields. A minimum return per rupee investment (0.20) was observed in  $T_1$  control.

### REFERENCES

- 1. Ali, Masood; Gupta, Sanjiv, Naimuddin and Upadhyay, Diwakar (2004). Dalhun, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., Pub. by Indian institute of Pulses Research (I.C A R), Kanpur, p1-189..
- 2. Ahuja, T. S. and Cheema, S. S. (1995). Modifying profile water storage through tillage, herbicide, chemical evaporation retardant and straw mulch and its effect on rainfed chickpea. *Soil and Tillage Research*, **3** (2): 159-170.
- 3. Asthana, N. and Chaturvedi, S. K. (1999). Pulses little impetus needed. The Hindu Survey of Indian Agriculture. pp. 61-62.
- 4. Singh, A. K. and Sahu, J. P. (1996). Integrated Weed Management in late sown chickpea. Indian Journal of Pulses Research, **9** (1): 78-79.
- 5. Singh, Rajendra Prasad (2012) Text Book of Field Crop Production, Pub. By I. C. A. R, New Delhi. P357-359.
- 6. Tomar, G. S.; Tomar, S. P. S. and Khajanji, S. M. (2011). A Text Book of Science of Crop Production, 155-156.
- 7. Vaishya, R. D., Fayaz, M. and Shrivastav, A. K. (1996). Integrated weed management in chickpea. *Indian Journal of Pulses Research*, **9** (1) : 34-38.
- 8. Varshney, J. G. and Arya, R. L. (2004). Dalhun, 1<sup>st</sup> ed., Indian Institute of Pulses Research (I.C A R), Kanpur, Chap. 18.