# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Assessment of Mutagenic Population of Tuberose (*Polianthes Tuberosa* L.) Based on Vegetative, Biochemical and Disease Incidence Traits

Ch. Sai Ratna Sharavani<sup>\*1</sup>, Swarajya Lakshmi Kode<sup>2</sup>, B. Tanuja Priya<sup>2</sup>, T. Usha Bharathi<sup>3</sup>, M. Reddi Sekhar<sup>4</sup>, Ch. Ruth<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Floriculture and Landscape Architecture, Dr Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta-516105

<sup>2</sup> Department of Horticulture, Dr Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta
 <sup>3</sup> Department of Floriculture and Medicinal Crops, IIHR, Bengaluru-560065
 <sup>4</sup> Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, S.V Agricultural College, ANGRAU, Tirupati
 <sup>5</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, Dr Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Anantharajupeta
 \*Corresponding author's E-mail: chnani11@gmail.com

#### ABSTRACT

Mutation breeding appears to be well standardized, efficient and cost-effective breeding techniques that can be exploited for the creation of novel ornamental cultivars. Tuberose (Polianthes tuberosa Linn.) is one of the most important bulbous perennial flowering plant, occupies second position after gladiolus in area and production in India. The present investigation was carried at college of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta during 2018-19. Bulbs of Tuberose variety 'Hyderabad Single' were exposed to LD 50 dose of gamma rays (20 Gy) at BARC, Mumbai. Each treated bulb was taken as individual treatment (mutant) and observed for various vegetative (Sprouting per cent, Plant height, leaf length, leaf width, plant spread, number of shoots), biochemical (SPAD chlorophyll content) and disease incidence (Alternaria leaf spot) characters along with untreated bulbs (control). Among 500 treated bulbs, 265 mutants were survived and these were evaluated and classified based on ranges of vegetative characters, biochemical (SPAD chlorophyll content) and disease incidence (Per cent disease index) characters. Among 265 mutants, M<sub>2</sub>, M<sub>7</sub>, M<sub>21</sub>, M<sub>32</sub>, M<sub>33</sub>, M<sub>211</sub> and M<sub>236</sub> had taken minimum number of days for sprouting (10 DAS), M<sub>250</sub>, M<sub>276</sub>, M<sub>410</sub> recorded a maximum height of 50.00 cm, M<sub>7</sub> recorded a maximum plant spread of 53.0 cm, maximum leaf length was recorded in M<sub>116</sub> and M<sub>250</sub> (49.70 cm), M<sub>12</sub>, M<sub>34</sub>, M<sub>38</sub>, M<sub>63</sub>, M<sub>954</sub> (20.00), maximum SPAD values was recorded in M<sub>30</sub>, M<sub>111</sub>, M<sub>189</sub>, M<sub>222</sub>, M<sub>426</sub>, M<sub>459</sub> (49.8), minimum PDI was recorded in M<sub>29</sub>, M<sub>69</sub>, M<sub>822</sub>, M<sub>166</sub>, M<sub>257</sub>, M<sub>401</sub>, M<sub>427</sub>, M<sub>440</sub>, M<sub>444</sub> (0), respectively.

Keywords: Tuberose, Vegetative characters, SPAD chlorophyll content, PDI

Disease Incidence Traits. Adv. Biores., Vol 10 [6] November 2019. 118-123.

Received 19.05.2019	Revised 16.10.2019	Accepted 06.11.2019
How to cite this article:		
Ch. Sai Ratna Sharavani, Swarajya Lakshmi Ko	de, B. Tanuja Priya, T. Usha I	Bharathi, M. Reddi Sekhar, Ch. Ruth.
Assessment of Mutagenic Population of Tubero	se (Polianthes Tuberosa L.) Ba	ased on Vegetative, Biochemical and

# INTRODUCTION

Among the tropical ornamental bulbous flowering plants cultivated for production of long lasting flower spikes, Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberose* L.) occupies a prominent place. It is cultivated commercially for both cut as well as loose flowers and is one of the major bulbous crops. It has pleasant fragrance, long vase-life of spike and wide adaptability to varied climate and soil. The essential oil of Tuberose is one of the most high-priced perfumes [8]. In India, it occupies second position after gladiolus with the total area of 14.92 thousand hectare and production of 106.49 thousand MT loose flowers and 89.83 lakh cut flowers [1-2].

There is constant demand for novelty in existing crops in floriculture industry. So, development of new cultivars through conventional or modern breeding techniques has been a prime objective in commercial

#### Sharavani et al

floriculture. Since self-incompatibility exists in tuberose [9] there is limitation of convention breeding methods involving hybridization in it.

Induction of mutations has proven to be sustainable, highly-efficient, environmentally acceptable, flexible, unregulated, nonhazardous and a low-cost technology to enhance crop improvement for the creation of novel ornamental cultivars having aesthetic value and also commercial cultivars having high demand for their flowers and oils extracted from tuberose. Among various mutagens, the gamma irradiations at 1 K rads to 7.5 K rads proved best according to crop response in vegetatively propagated crops [5].

During mutation breeding, to avoid excessive loss of actual experimental materials, radio-sensitivity tests were conducted to determine LD  $_{50}$  doses before massive irradiation of similar material. After arriving LD<sub>50</sub> value for gamma rays a huge plant material of tuberose is exposed to LD<sub>50</sub> value and further studied for quality traits. Hence the present experiment was conducted to evaluate the mutant population of tuberose based on vegetative, biochemical and disease incidence traits and classified based on ranges.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation on 'Assessment of mutagenic population of Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberosa* L.) based on ranges of vegetative, biochemical and disease incidence traits' was conducted at College of Horticulture, Anantharajupeta, Dr. Y.S.R. Horticultural University, Andhra Pradesh during year 2018-19. Bulbs of tuberose var 'Hyderabad Single' was procured from AICRIP on Flower crops, Rajendranagar, Hyderabad. These were irradiated at Babha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai using physical mutagen, gamma rays at LD <sub>50</sub> dose (20 Gy) with untreated as control. The treated bulbs were planted in polybags of size 14x14 inch containing potting media of 2:1:1 ratio of soil, FYM and cocopeat. The experiment containing 265 mutants were evaluated for various vegetative (Sprouting per cent, Plant height, leaf length, leaf width, plant spread, number of shoots) , biochemical (SPAD chlorophyll content) and disease incidence (Alternaria leaf spot) attributes and classified based on rages.

# **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The mutants developed from the bulbs of tuberose treated with  $LD_{50}$  value (20Gy) were evaluated for vegetative, biochemical and disease incidence traits and classified based on ranges of attributes.

# **Vegetative characters**

The range for days taken to sprouting varied from 10-185 days after sowing. The mean value for control plants was 33.2. Among the plants whose bulbs were subjected to 20 Gy irradiation,  $M_2$ ,  $M_7$ ,  $M_{21}$ ,  $M_{32}$ ,  $M_{33}$ ,  $M_{211}$  and  $M_{236}$  had taken minimum number of days for sprouting (10 DAS), whereas,  $M_{178}$  had taken maximum number of days for sprouting (185 DAS) as given in Appendix-A. Among the irradiated plants 122 mutants (46.03 %) were classified as early, 101 (38.11 %) mutants as medium and 42 (15.84 %) mutants as late (Table 1).

The mean plant height for untreated, control plants was 44.50 cm. Among the plants whose bulbs were subjected to 20 Gy irradiation,  $M_{250}$ ,  $M_{276}$ ,  $M_{410}$  recorded a maximum height of 50.00 cm while  $M_{308}$  recorded the minimum height of 12.00 cm as given in Appendix-A. The range for plant height varied from less than 40 to more than 50 cm. Among the irradiated plants 125 mutants (47.16 %) were classified as short, 140 mutants as medium tall (52.83 %) and no mutants under range of tall (Table 1).

Alterations in the morphological pattern of any type, through mutagens, are regarded as morphological mutations. Based on segregation pattern of morphological mutants, Reddy and Gupta [7] and Thakur and Sethi [10] observed that most of the true breeding mutants are controlled by single recessive genes, however, Konzak *et al.* [3] argued that the different morphological mutants that bred true in future generations like tall, dwarf, semi dwarf, bushy, prostate and bold seeded mutant types were found to be under the influence of polygenes. Qin *et al.* [6] reported that a dominant dwarf mutation is controlled by a single dominant gene in cow pea.

The general mean value recorded for plant spread in untreated plants was 53.90 cm. Among the mutagenic plants,  $M_7$  recorded a maximum plant spread of 53.0 cm while  $M_{178}$  recorded the minimum plant spread of 13.5 cm as given in Appendix-A. The range for plant height varied from 13.5 to 53.0 cm. Among the irradiated plants 19 mutants (7.16 %) were classified as light, 89 mutants (33.58 %) were classified as medium and 157 mutants as heavy spread (59.24 %) (Table 1).

The data recorded for the control mean for leaf length was 44.10 cm. Among the mutagenic population maximum leaf length was recorded in  $M_{116}$  and  $M_{250}$  (49.70 cm) while the minimum leaf length was recorded in  $M_{308}$  (11.60 cm) and it is presented in Appendix-A. The leaf length recorded for irradiated plants ranged from less than 40 cm to more than 50 cm. Based on the range, 149 mutants (56.22 %) were grouped in short, 116 mutants (43.77 %) in medium tall and no mutants under tall group (Table 1).

#### Sharavani et al

The range for leaf width varied from less than 2.0 to more than 3.0 cm. The mean value for control plants was 2.10 cm. Among the plants whose bulbs were subjected to 20 Gy irradiation,  $M_{12}$ ,  $M_{34}$ ,  $M_{38}$ ,  $M_{63}$ ,  $M_{95}$ ,  $M_{106}$ ,  $M_{107}$  and  $M_{276}$  had recorded maximum leaf width (2.30 cm), whereas,  $M_{308}$  had recorded minimum leaf width (0.70 cm) as given in Appendix-A. Among the irradiated plants, 176 mutants (66.41 %) were classified as narrow, 89 mutants (33.58 %) as medium and no mutants as broad (Table 1).

# Table 1 Classification of mutagenic population (265 plants) based on ranges of vegetative, Biochemical and Disease incidence

e Control mean 33.20 44.50 53.90													
44.50													
44.50													
44.50													
44.50													
53.90													
53.90													
53.90													
53.90													
$\overline{-}$													
1													
44.10													
_													
I													
2.10													
15.70													
SPAD Chlorophyll content           Low         31-37         8         3.01         48.06													
48.06													
_													
16.25													
16.35													
_													

The size of leaves are tightly controlled by environmental and genetic factors that must spatially and temporally coordinate cell expansion and cell cycle activity. The increase and reduction in leaf width might be influenced by physical mutagen on cell activity of plant [4].

The data recorded for the control mean for number of shoots per clump was 15.70. Among the mutagenic population, more number of shoots per clump was recorded in  $M_2$  and  $M_{248}$  (20.00) while the less number of shoots per clump was recorded in  $M_{29}$ ,  $M_{49}$ ,  $M_{82}$ ,  $M_{370}$  and  $M_{438}$  (1.00) and it was presented is Appendix-

#### Sharavani et al

G. The number of shoots per clump recorded for irradiated plants ranged from 1.0-20.0. Based on the range, 127 mutants (47.92 %) were grouped under few, 118 mutants (44.52 %) under medium and 20 mutants (7.54 %) under many (Table 1). The variation in number of shoots per clump might be due to the modification of gene expression for the tillering trait depending on the growing condition or mutation induction [11].

# **Biochemical traits**

The maximum SPAD values was recorded in  $M_{30}$ ,  $M_{111}$ ,  $M_{189}$ ,  $M_{222}$ ,  $M_{426}$ ,  $M_{459}$  (49.8) while minimum SPAD values was recorded in  $M_{244}$  (31.00) while the untreated control recorded 48.06 as given in Appendix- A. From the data recorded, the range for SPAD values in mutant population ranged from 31 to 49.8. Based on the range plotted, 8 mutants (3.01 %) were classified as low, while 98 mutants (36.98 %) were classified as medium whereas 159 mutants (60 %) were classified as high (Table 1). The variation in SPAD chlorophyll values might be due to occurrence of mutation in chlorophyll synthesis [2].

# **Disease Incidence**

# Per cent Disease Index for Alternaria Leaf Spot

The minimum PDI was recorded in  $M_{29}$ ,  $M_{69}$ ,  $M_{82}$ ,  $M_{166}$ ,  $M_{257}$ ,  $M_{401}$ ,  $M_{427}$ ,  $M_{440}$ ,  $M_{444}$  (0) and maximum PDI was recorded in  $M_{117}$  (41.17%) (Appendix- A). The control plants recorded an average of 16.35%. A range of less than 5 to 60 was observed in the mutant population. Based on the ranges plotted for mutants, 12 mutants (4.52%) were grouped under Immune, 65 mutants (24.52%) were categorised as resistant, 161 mutants (60.75%) were grouped as moderately resistant, 26 mutants (9.81%) was classified as moderately susceptible and one mutant (0.37%) was classified under susceptible range (Table 1).

It can be concluded that, among 265 mutants survived after LD 50 treatment,  $M_2$ ,  $M_7$ ,  $M_{21}$ ,  $M_{32}$ ,  $M_{33}$ ,  $M_{211}$  and  $M_{236}$  had taken minimum number of days for sprouting (10 DAS),  $M_{250}$ ,  $M_{276}$ ,  $M_{410}$  recorded a maximum height of 50.00 cm,  $M_7$  recorded a maximum plant spread of 53.0 cm, maximum leaf length was recorded in  $M_{116}$  and  $M_{250}$  (49.70 cm),  $M_{12}$ ,  $M_{34}$ ,  $M_{38}$ ,  $M_{63}$ ,  $M_{95}$ ,  $M_{106}$ ,  $M_{107}$  and  $M_{276}$  had recorded maximum leaf width, more number of shoots per clump was recorded in  $M_2$  and  $M_{248}$  (20.00), maximum SPAD values was recorded in  $M_{30}$ ,  $M_{111}$ ,  $M_{189}$ ,  $M_{222}$ ,  $M_{426}$ ,  $M_{459}$  (49.8), minimum PDI was recorded in  $M_{29}$ ,  $M_{69}$ ,  $M_{82}$ ,  $M_{166}$ ,  $M_{257}$ ,  $M_{401}$ ,  $M_{427}$ ,  $M_{440}$ ,  $M_{444}$  (0), respectively.

# REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous. National Horticulture Data Base.(2016-17). National Horticulture Board. Ministry of Agriculture, Government of India.
- 2. Kirchhoff, W.R., A.E. Hall and M.L. Roose. (1989). Inheritance of a mutation influencing chlorophyll content and composition in cowpea. Crop Sci., 29: 105-108
- 3. Konzak, C.F., Nilan, R.A, Wagner, J and Foster, R.J. (1965). Efficient chemical mutagenesis. *Rad. Bot.* 5: 49-70.
- 4. Nathalie, G, Stefanie, D. B, Ronan, S, Yusuke, Eunyoung, C and Stijn, D. (2010). Plant Physiology. 153: 1261–1279
- 5. Patil, S and Dhaduk, B.K. (2009). Effect of gamma radiation on vegetative and floral characters of commercial varieties of gladiolus (*Gladiolus hybrida*). *Journal of Ornamental Horticulture*. 12(4): 232-238.
- 6. Qin, R, Qiu, Y and Cheng, Z. (2008). Genetic analysis of a noval dominant rice dwarf mutant 986083D. *Euphtica*. 160: 379-387
- 7. Reddy, V.R.K. and Gupta, P.K. (1988). Induced mutations in hexaploid triticale frequency and spectrum of morphological mutations. *Genetics in Agriculture*. 42: 241-254
- 8. Singh, Krishan, P. Ganesh, B. K. and Jyothi, R. (2010). Production Manual on Tuberose (*Polianthes tuberose* Linn.). *Directorate of Floricultural Research, IARI Campus, Pusa, New Delhi, India.* 1-24.
- 9. Swaminathan, M.S. (1964). A comparison of mutation induction in diploids and polyploids. *In*: The use of induced mutations in plant breeding. *IAEA Technical Report*, Vienna. 619-641.
- *10.* Thakur, H.L. and G.S. Sethi. (1993). Characterization and segregation pattern of some macromutations induced in blackgram (*Vigna mungo* (L.) Hepper). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*. 53:168-173
- 11. Yan, J.Q, Zhu, C.X, He, M, Benmoussa, and Wu, P. (1998). Quantitative trait loci analysis for the developmental behavior of tiller number in rice (Oryza sativa L.). Theor. Appl. Genet. 97:267–274

**Copyright:** © **2019 Society of Education**. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

	Days Sprou			ant ight										iber of ots Per ump	Sp. Chloro	PDI		
z	С	М	С	M	C	м	С	М	C	М	С	М	С	А	C	М	С	м
2	10	10	46.0	44.5	46	44	2.0	2.2	126	110	52.5	52.5	16	20	49.1	45.0	37.7	39.1
7	12	10	40.7	45.0	40	44	2.0	2.2	117	61	55.0	53.0	14	13	46.9	42.5	48.5	36.8
12	24	28	41.5	44.0	41	43.5	2.0	2.3	115	89	54.4	48.0	14	12	48.3	40.2	24.1	25.4
21	13	10	46.3	43.0	46	42.5	2.2	2.2	120	78	55.0	47.8	16	15	48.5	40.1	19.2	21.6
29	28	175	41.3	35.0	41	34.5	2.2	2.2	114	19	53.3	41.5	16	1	47.6	47.7	20.4	0.0
30	28	30	41.4	32.5	41	31.5	2.1	2.1	117	45	53.7	31.0	16	4	48.7	49.8	7.1	5.9
31	10	12	46.2	40.0	46	39.6	2.1	2.1	121	50	54.3	49.0	17	11	47.9	48.6	15.0	26.9
32	24	10	40.2	41.0	40	40.5	2.0	2.1	115	67	54.3	50.0	15	8	52.3	46.2	8.0	8.6
34	14	15	41.5	46.0	41	45.3	2.3	2.3	118	39	54.3	49.0	15	9	48.5	40.5	16.1	18.4
38	28	12	43.5	45.0	43	44.5	2.3	2.3	115	48	55.0	47.0	15	10	47.9	47.7	22.2	16.7
49	34	30	43.6	31.0	43	30.8	2.2	2.0	118	28	54.2	41.8	15	1	48.5	46.2	13.0	12.5
63	26	45	41.0	40.8	41	40.2	2.1	2.3	124	80	54.2	44.6	15	14	44.8	39.6	17.7	9.3
69	36	50	41.3	40.0	41	39.5	2.2	2.2	121	32	53.3	32.8	15	3	48.3	45.0	12.5	0.0
82	24	19	40.0	40.0	40	39.6	2.2	2.1	115	33	52.3	33.0	16	1	46.0	40.8	24.4	0.0
95	31	22	43.6	39.0	43	38.7	2.0	2.3	115	68	52.4	44.7	15	8	48.0	41.8	10.9	6.3
106	26	70	43.6	40.0	43	39.6	2.2	2.3	110	62	54.2	42.3	17	14	45.2	48.9	15.4	14.3
107	18	94	47.0	46.0	47	45.5	2.2	2.3	125	25	53.3	41.8	16	5	47.6	48.1	11.8	9.1
111	34	120	42.5	47.0	42	46.5	2.1	1.9	121	52	54.0	42.6	16	9	47.6	49.8	18.6	14.7
116	28	75	42.6	50.0	42	49.7	2.2	2.2	108	102	54.4	45.8	15	10	48.3	43.0	13.2	26.2

APPENDIX A: Effect of LD<sub>50</sub> on vegetative, biochemical and disease incidence traits in Tuberose (C-CONTOL, M-MUTANT)

117	28	62	41.7	46.0	41	45.3	2.2	1.8	110	21	52.4	28.8	15	4	47.3	49.7	21.7	41.2
166	41	130	44.0	41.0	44	40.5	2.2	1.6	117	20	53.3	39.0	17	2	48.5	45.6	14.6	0.0
178	24	185	46.5	21.5	46	21	2.1	1.1	110	20	53.3	13.5	16	з	48.5	44.0	14.5	16.7
189	36	110	41.0	34.0	41	33.5	2.1	1.8	121	52	54.8	30.8	17	6	47.9	49.8	15.6	8.8
211	38	10	45.2	44.0	45	43.4	2.0	1.8	120	119	54.4	48.0	16	14	47.1	47.6	15.0	8.3
222	38	30	47.3	34.0	47	33.4	2.2	1.5	110	63	54.3	29.0	15	8	47.9	49.8	15.5	10.6
236	38	10	42.5	41.0	42	40.6	2.2	1.8	110	92	54.4	42.5	15	8	47.9	48.7	14.7	21.4
244	34	30	46.9	38.5	47	38	2.0	2.1	112	86	52.4	44.0	16	14	48.8	31.0	16.0	8.0
248	40	60	43.6	39.5	43	39	2.1	1.7	108	45	54.7	44.5	16	20	47.0	42.9	16.2	16.7
250	26	13	45.6	50.0	45	49.7	2.1	2.1	106	54	54.3	40.5	16	6	48.3	45.2	24.0	28.6
257	34	139	44.3	32.5	44	32.1	2.1	1.9	121	23	54.0	21.0	16	з	44.8	41.7	25.0	0.0
276	41	65	45.2	50.0	45	49.4	2.0	2.3	108	90	56.9	49.5	15	7	50.3	41.9	12.0	12.5
308	26	20	46.6	12.0	46	11.6	2.1	0.7	121	30	54.4	16.7	15	5	45.9	45.6	13.6	9.1
370	44	100	43.5	34.0	43	33.6	2.1	1.8	103	18	52.3	18.5	16	1	49.0	43.3	12.8	14.7
401	26	90	40.2	40.0	40	39.5	2.1	2.0	113	22	54.3	32.0	16	5	48.0	42.5	7.4	0.0
410	40	20	47.8	50.0	47	49.6	2.0	1.8	125	144	53.3	47.0	16	14	50.3	48.3	20.4	7.4
426	30	78	48.0	36.0	48	35.6	2.0	1.9	118	09	54.4	42.0	16	9	47.9	49.8	10.5	9.6
427	32	90	45.2	41.0	45	40.6	2.1	2.0	112	33	52.3	30.0	16	4	49.0	43.9	9.5	0.0
438	36	100	45.2	34.0	45	33.5	2.0	2.1	110	25	52.4	33.0	15	1	48.8	47.0	7.1	17.4
440	28	79	44.5	35.0	44	34.5	2.1	1.6	110	73	55.0	35.5	15	7	48.9	40.0	16.7	0.0
444	50	90	45.2	44.0	45	43.7	2.0	2.1	113	20	52.0	32.0	16	4	44.9	42.3	3.8	0.0
459	36	13	41.6	30.0	41	29.5	2.1	2.0	123	63	54.9	41.0	15	10	47.3	49.8	15.6	10.0