Advances in Bioresearch Adv. Biores., Vol 10 (1) January 2019: 128-132 ©2019 Society of Education, India Print ISSN 0976-4585; Online ISSN 2277-1573 Journal's URL:http://www.soeagra.com/abr.html CODEN: ABRDC3 DOI: 10.15515/abr.0976-4585.10.1.128132

SHORT COMMUNICATION

Genetic Variation for Morphological, Grain Yield and Grain Quality Traits in Rice Landraces of Chhattisgarh

Richa Sao, Ritu R. Saxena, Namita Singh and Parmeshwar K. Sahu*

Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur-492012 (Chhattisgarh) *Corresponding author's email: parmeshwarsahu1210@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

An attempt was made to study the genetic parameters for yield, yield attributing and quality characters in forty seven rice genotypes. Analysis of variance for 27 yield and quality traits revealed significant differences for all the traits under study. Coefficient of variation ranges from 5.83% for hulling percentage to 66.97% for alkali spreading value. The high value of phenotypic coefficient of variation coupled with genotypic coefficient of variation was recorded in number of filled grains per panicle followed by number of unfilled grains per panicle, total spikelet per panicle, grain weight per plant, panicle weight, and harvest index so on. The phenotypic variance was higher than the corresponding genotypic variance for the characters, indicating influence of environment on expression of these characters. High heritability with high genetic advance as percent mean was observed for all yield attributing traits like grain weight per plant, harvest index %, number of filled grains per panicle, panicle weight etc except for panicle length. These characters are governed by additive gene action and one should go for direct selection for improving these traits. **Keywords:** Rice, germplasm, genetic variability, grain yield

Received 18.09.2018

Revised 10.10.2018

Accepted 27.12.2018

How to cite this article:

R Sao, Ritu R. Saxena, N Singh and P K. Sahu. Genetic Variation for Morphological, Grain Yield and Grain Quality Traits in Rice Landraces of Chhattisgarh. Adv. Biores., Vol 10 [1] January 2019.128-132.

INTRODUCTION

Rice is the most important cereal crop of the world meeting the dietary requirement of the people living in tropics and sub-tropics. More than half of the world's population depends on rice for a substantial amount of calories [15]. The rising demand, saturation of cultivable field and low gross domestic production of rice are likely to cause a supply shortage of a crop in the near future [14]. Therefore being the most important staple food of the population, improving its productivity by exploiting the genetic variability has become a crucial importance. For effective genetic improvement, knowing the nature and magnitude of various characters of rice is very essential. A critical analysis of genetic variability is a prerequisite for initiating any crop improvement also for adopting appropriate selection technique [13]. Environmental effects also influence the total observable variation of quantitative traits. Therefore, partitioning of total variance due to genetic and non genetic cause becomes necessary for effective breeding program. The genotypic coefficient of variance estimates the heritable variability, whereas phenotypic component measures the role of environment in its expression [7]. The phenomenon of transmission of characters from parents to offspring is usually measured in terms of heritability whereas genetic advance is a measure of genetic gain under selection. Therefore the estimates of heritability and genetic advance would help to formulate a sound breeding programme [10]. Keeping in view the above facts, the present investigation was undertaken to know genetic variability and heritability of rice genotypes for different yield and quality traits.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present research work was conducted at Research cum Instructional farm, Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh, during the *Kharif* season of 2016. Forty-seven genotypes including land races and released varieties of rice were included for the

study (Table 1). Twenty-one days old seedlings were subsequently transplanted in the field with row to row & plant to plant distance of 20 cm X 20 cm in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with two replications. Standard agronomical practices were adopted for all the treatments. Five random plants from each of the treatment of each replication were taken for recording data.

Statistical analysis for ANOVA and variability parameters was done by software WINDOSTAT v9.3. ANOVA and test of significance was calculated as per the method of Fisher [4]. The genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variance was calculated by the formula given by Burton [2]. Heritability in broad sense was calculated by the formula given by Hanson *et al.* [5]. From the heritability estimates, the genetic advance was calculated by the formula given by Johnson *et al.* [6].

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) based on Randomized Complete Block Design

The result of analysis of variance of 27 yield and quality traits in 47 rice germplasm accessions is given in the table 2. The results of the analysis of variance indicated that all the genotypes were highly significant for all the yield attributing and quality characters. This clearly indicates that variability does exist in all the genotypes for all the traits and there is good chance to improve these traits through proper breeding methods. Presence of high variability might be due to presence of diverse germplasm lines where natural recombination taken place continuously. The presence of high variability for grain yield, yield attributing traits and grain quality traits were also reported by Subba Rao *et al.* [13], Sajid *et al.* [11] and Sahu *et al.* [10].

Variability parameters and mean performance for the traits

Genetic variability parameters and mean performance of 27 yield and quality traits of 47 rice germplasm accessions are given in table 3. Grain yield ranges from 6.32 to 26.02 with an average of 13.27±5.57 and CV 41.97%. Hundred seed weight ranges from 0.71 to 2.94 with an average 2.11±0.53 and CV 24.9%. Harvest index ranges from 19.62 to 51.25 with an average 31.66±8.74 and CV 27.62%. Hulling% ranges from 61.47 to 76.11 with an average 69.08±4.03 and CV 5.83%. Milling% ranges from 34.25 to 70.80 with an average 57.6±6.75 and CV 11.71%. HRR% ranges from 22.10 to 63.43 with an average 45.75±9.31 and CV 20.35%.

Genotypic and phenotypic coefficient of variation (GCV and PCV)

The magnitude of coefficient of variation was categorized as high (> 20%), moderate (10- 20%) and low (< 10%). Coefficients of variation indicated that the phenotypic coefficient of variation (PCV) were slightly higher than corresponding genotypic coefficient of variation (GCV) which showed the negligible influence of extraneous factors and therefore, selection for such traits on the basis of phenotype only could also be rewarding [1, 10]. The high value of PCV coupled with high GCV was recorded for number of filled grains/panicle, followed by total spikelet/panicle, number of unfilled grains per panicle, grain weight/plant, panicle weight, harvest index, milled grain length, hundred seed weight, number of panicles per plant, decorticated grain length, gel consistency, decorticated grain width, milled grain width, shoot dry weight/plant, HRR%. Results showed traits having high GCV and PCV could be improved through direct selection. Traits like leaf: length of blade, leaf: width of blade, plant height, stem: length (excluding panicle), spikelet fertility, paddy length, paddy width, milling (%), amylose content (%) showed intermediate GCV and PCV which indicated that selection for these traits in advance generation would be rewarding. Two traits viz., panicle length and hulling (%) showed low GCV and PCV which indicated the presence narrow genetic base and improvement in these traits could be done by hybridization or induced mutagenesis followed by pedigree selection in advanced generations [9]. These results were in accordance with the findings of Babu et al. [1], Karuppaiyan et al. [7], Lingaiah et al. [8] and Sahu et al. [10].

Heritability (bs):

The reliability of the phenotypic value depends on the estimates of heritability for a particular character therefore high heritability helps in the effective selection for a particular character. Heritability was classified as high (above 60%), medium (30%-60%) and low (below 30%). High estimate of heritability was found for all characters except for panicle length which showed intermediate heritability. The highest heritability was estimated for decorticated grain length followed by 100-grain weight, total spikelet per panicle and number of filled grains per panicle. High heritability values indicate that the characters under study are less influenced by environment in their expression. The plant breeder, therefore adopt simple selection method on the basis of the phenotype of the characters which ultimately improves the genetic background of these traits. This finding is in agreement with Choudhary *et al.* [3], Babu *et al.* [1], Sarawgi *et al.*, [12], Tuhina Khatun *et al.* [14] and Sahu *et al.* [10].

Sao et al

Genetic advance:

The estimates of genetic advance as per cent of mean provide more reliable information regarding the effectiveness of selection in improving the traits. Genetic advance as percent of mean was categorized as high (>20%), moderate (10-20%) and low (<10%). Most of the traits under investigation possessing high values of genetic advance indicate that the characters are governed by additive genes and selection will be rewarding for improvement of such trait. Only some traits *viz.*, width of leaf blade, panicle length, spikelet fertility, hulling% showed moderate genetic advance.

Heritability estimates along with genetic advance is more useful than heritability alone in predicting the effectiveness of selection [6]. High heritability coupled with high genetic advance was observed for leaf: length of blade, plant height, stem length, panicle weight, panicle: number/plant, hundred grain weight, number of filled grains/panicle, number of unfilled grains/panicle, total spikelet/panicle, grain weight/plant, shoot dry weight/plant, harvest index, paddy length, decorticated grain length, decorticated grain width, length of milled grain, width of milled grain, milling%, HRR, amylose content and gel consistency. Characters which showed high genetic advance coupled with high heritability are governed by additive gene action and would be considered for direct selection. High heritability with moderate or low genetic advance as percentage of mean was observed for width of leaf blade, spikelet fertility, width of paddy, hulling % which indicated that these traits are also governed by additive gene action and can be improved by selection methods with proper care [9]. These findings are in agreement with findings of Veni and Rani [15], Babu *et al.* [1], Tuhina Khatun *et al.* [14], Sahu *et al.* [10].

| S. N. | CGR No. | Name | S. N. | CGR No. | Name |
|-------|---------------|----------------|-------|--------------|------------------------|
| 1 | CGR NO- 17976 | Dhaur | 24 | CGR NO- 569 | Basmati |
| 2 | CGR NO- 17978 | JS-5 | 25 | CGR NO- 741 | Dhamna panda |
| 3 | CGR NO-18029 | Badal phool | 26 | CGR NO- 1599 | No :21 (A) |
| 4 | CGR NO-1 | Amakoyali | 27 | CGR NO- 1845 | Badi barik |
| 5 | CGR NO- 14 | Rambhoj | 28 | CGR NO- 2181 | Basmati |
| 6 | CGR NO- 72 | Churhala dhan | 29 | CGR NO- 1718 | Kondi ajan |
| 7 | CGR NO- 218 | Lal batra | 30 | CGR NO- 2176 | Basmati (I) |
| 8 | CGR NO- 242 | Lallo-14 | 31 | CGR NO- 2210 | Beo (I) |
| 9 | CGR NO- 255 | Machhri kata | 32 | CGR NO- 2328 | Bodi |
| 10 | CGR NO- 300 | Parra dhan | 33 | CGR NO- 1712 | Bhata ajan |
| 11 | CGR NO- 320 | Pinna basengi | 34 | | Swarna sub 1 |
| 12 | CGR NO- 369 | Sakra | 35 | | Jaya |
| 13 | CGR NO- 389 | Satka | 36 | | IGKVR 1244 |
| 14 | CGR NO- 447 | Shyam jir | 37 | | Karma mahsuri |
| 15 | CGR NO- 529 | Banko –II | 38 | | Badshabhog Selection 1 |
| 16 | CGR NO- 659 | Changadi | 39 | | Dubraj Selection 1 |
| 17 | CGR NO- 746 | Dhaura | 40 | | Tarunbhog Selection 1 |
| 18 | CGR NO- 930 | Kadam phool | 41 | | Mahamaya |
| 19 | CGR NO- 953 | Kakdo | 42 | | Safri 17 |
| 20 | CGR NO- 993 | Kanji | 43 | | IGKVR 2 |
| 21 | CGR NO- 1211 | Machhari ankhi | 44 | | Indira Aerobic Dhan 1 |
| 22 | CGR NO- 1267 | Nalla wadlu | 45 | | Swarna sub 1 |
| 23 | CGR NO- 1319 | Parra | 46 | | IGKVR 1 |
| | | | 47 | | MTU1010 |
| | | | | | |

 Table 1: List of 47 rice genotypes (33 landraces and 14 released varieties) included in the study.

| Table 2: Anal | ysis of variance | (ANOVA) |) for 27 | yield and c | quality | r traits in rice genoty | pes |
|---------------|------------------|---------|----------|-------------|---------|-------------------------|-----|
| DE | | | | 6.0 | | | |

| SV | DF | | | | Mean S | um of Squ | uares | | | | |
|--------------|----|----------|---------|----------|----------|-----------|---------|---------|--------|-----------|----------|
| | | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Replications | 1 | 23.585* | 0.01 | 739.42* | 282.65* | 107.75* | 4.53 | 1.53 | 0.00 | 29.88 | 53.63** |
| Treatments | 46 | 102.24** | 0.064** | 721.72** | 717.31** | 12.45** | 83.42** | 16.52** | 0.55** | 5907.92** | 588.09** |
| Error | 46 | 2.21 | 0.01 | 34.28 | 24.56 | 4.79 | 1.70 | 3.25 | 0.00 | 21.41 | 8.85 |

| SV | DF | | Mean Sum of Squares | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|-----------|---------------------|---------|----------|----------|---------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| | | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 |
| Replications | 1 | 163.57** | 43.53** | 0.14 | 1.41 | 5.82 | 74.00** | 0.02 | 0.03 | 0.01 | 0.23 |
| Treatments | 46 | 9922.71** | 109.68** | 61.99** | 137.41** | 152.87** | 1.93** | 0.19** | 2.78** | 102.24** | 0.064** |
| Error | 46 | 34.03 | 9.11 | 1.12 | 5.05 | 4.89 | 65.27 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 2.21 | 0.01 |

| SV | DF | Mean Sum of Squares | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|----|---------------------|----------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|--|--|
| | | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | | |
| Replications | 1 | 0.01 | 13.41 | 13.18* | 38.15* | 7.19 | 0.01 | 4.04 | | |
| Treatments | 46 | 721.72** | 717.31** | 12.45** | 83.42** | 16.52** | 0.55** | 19.66** | | |
| Error | 46 | 0.03 | 24.56 | 4.79 | 1.70 | 3.25 | 0.25 | 21.41 | | |

 Error
 46
 0.03
 24.56
 4.79
 1.70
 3.25
 0.25
 21.41

 ** Significant at 1% level of significance; * Significant at 5% level of significance

 1= Leaf: Length of blade ; 2 = Leaf: Width of blade ; 3= Plant height ;4= Stem: Length(excluding panicle ; 5= Panicle length ; 6=

 Panicle weight (g) ; 7= Number of panicles per plant ; 8= 100 seed weight (g) ; 9= Number of filled grains/panicle ;10= Number of

 unfilled grains/panicle ;11= Total spikelets/ panicle; 12= Spikelet Fertlity % ; 13= Grain weight/plant (g) ; 14= Shoot dry

 weight/plant (g) ; 15=Harvest Index (%) ; 16= Paddy length ; 17= Paddy width ; 18= Decorticated grain length (mm) ;

 19=Decorticated grain width(mm) ; 20= Milled grain length ; 21 ; Milled grain width ; 22= Hulling% ; 23= Milling% ; 24=HRR% ;

 25= Gel consistency ; 26=Alkali spreading value ; 27= Content of amylose %

| Table 3: Mean and | d Variabilit | ty parameters for 2 | 7 yield and | quality trai | its of 47 i | rice germ | plasm acco | essions |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------|-----------|------------|---------|
| | Moon | Dango | | | CCV | PCV | h^2 (hc) | CA as % |

| Traits | Mean | 5 | | SD | CV% | GCV | PCV | h ² (bs) | GA as % |
|---------------------------------------|--------|-------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------------------|---------|
| | (X) | Min. | Max. | | | (%) | (%) | (%) | mean |
| Leaf: Length of blade (cm) | 40.38 | 28.18 | 60.49 | 7.15 | 17.70 | 17.51 | 17.89 | 95.77 | 35.30 |
| leaf: width of blade (cm) | 1.62 | 1.23 | 2.15 | 0.18 | 11.10 | 10.33 | 11.82 | 76.43 | 18.61 |
| Plant height (cm) | 132.05 | 91.26 | 176.04 | 19.00 | 14.39 | 14.04 | 14.72 | 90.93 | 27.58 |
| Stem: Length(excluding panicle) | 107.99 | 67.50 | 147.50 | 18.94 | 17.54 | 17.23 | 17.84 | 93.38 | 34.31 |
| Panicle length (cm) | 24.06 | 18.64 | 29.39 | 2.49 | 10.37 | 8.14 | 9.42 | 44.47 | 11.18 |
| Panicle weight (g) | 15.58 | 7.60 | 31.00 | 6.46 | 41.46 | 41.04 | 41.88 | 96.00 | 82.83 |
| Number of panicles per plant | 10.72 | 6.00 | 19.00 | 2.87 | 26.80 | 24.02 | 29.32 | 67.12 | 40.54 |
| 100 seed weight (g) | 2.11 | 0.71 | 2.94 | 0.53 | 24.90 | 24.87 | 24.94 | 99.44 | 51.09 |
| Number of filled grains/panicle | 85.41 | 7.00 | 232.50 | 54.35 | 63.63 | 63.52 | 63.75 | 99.28 | 50.0 |
| Number of unfilled grains/panicle | 26.63 | 8.00 | 67.00 | 17.15 | 63.34 | 62.86 | 63.81 | 97.04 | 45.8 |
| Total spikelets/ panicle | 112.49 | 17.50 | 304.00 | 70.44 | 62.62 | 62.51 | 62.72 | 99.32 | 40.8 |
| Spikelet Fertility (%) | 74.42 | 39.87 | 87.60 | 7.41 | 9.95 | 10.23 | 10.96 | 84.66 | 18.06 |
| Grain weight/plant (g) | 13.27 | 6.32 | 26.02 | 5.57 | 41.97 | 41.58 | 42.34 | 96.46 | 84.13 |
| Shoot dry weight/plant (g) | 40.76 | 26.00 | 62.00 | 8.29 | 20.33 | 20.06 | 20.70 | 92.91 | 39.63 |
| Harvest Index (%) | 31.66 | 19.62 | 51.25 | 8.74 | 27.62 | 27.17 | 28.06 | 93.80 | 54.21 |
| Paddy length (mm) | 9.29 | 5.40 | 47.80 | 5.82 | 62.67 | 11.43 | 11.79 | 91.91 | 25.45 |
| Paddy width (mm) | 2.97 | 2.30 | 3.50 | 0.31 | 10.27 | 10.34 | 10.89 | 88.06 | 19.21 |
| Decorticated grain length(mm) | 5.50 | 2.85 | 7.13 | 1.18 | 21.44 | 21.41 | 21.47 | 99.45 | 43.99 |
| Decorticated grain width(mm) | 1.97 | 1.10 | 2.55 | 0.41 | 20.66 | 20.58 | 20.76 | 98.25 | 42.01 |
| Milled grain length (mm) | 4.10 | 1.68 | 5.63 | 1.04 | 25.47 | 25.22 | 25.71 | 96.19 | 50.95 |
| Milled grain width (mm) | 1.94 | 1.08 | 2.53 | 0.41 | 20.90 | 20.8 | 21 | 98.08 | 42.43 |
| Hulling (%) | 69.08 | 61.47 | 76.11 | 4.03 | 5.83 | 5.54 | 6.11 | 82.06 | 10.33 |
| Milling (%) | 57.60 | 34.25 | 70.80 | 6.75 | 11.71 | 11.53 | 11.89 | 93.89 | 23.00 |
| HRR (%) | 45.75 | 22.10 | 63.43 | 9.31 | 20.35 | 20.41 | 21.24 | 83.52 | 36.55 |
| Gel consistency | 69.34 | 33.00 | 97.00 | 15.75 | 22.72 | 22.34 | 23.08 | 93.69 | 44.55 |
| Alkali spreading value | 2.71 | 1.00 | 7.00 | 1.82 | 66.97 | 23.4 | 23.6 | 88.1 | 15.41 |
| Amylose content (%) | 21.77 | 15.91 | 29.37 | 3.10 | 14.22 | 13.82 | 14.6 | 89.70 | 26.97 |

Sao et al

CONCLUSION

Increasing the rice production per unit of land is essential to feed the global population. Therefore study about genetic variations in rice germplasm keeps a prime importance. This study gives idea to the plant breeders about the variations available in rice germplasm lines for grain yield attributing traits and grain quality traits which would be useful for improvement of studied traits.

REFERENCES

- 1. Babu, V.R., Shreya, K., Dangi, K.S., Usharani, G., Nagesh, P. (2012). Genetic variability studies for qualitative and quantitative traits in popular rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) hybrids of India. Int. J. Sci. Res. Publ., 2: 1-5.
- 2. Burton, G.W. and Devane, E.H. (1952). Estimating heritability in tall fescue (Festucaarundinaceae) from replicated clonal material. Agron. J., 45: 478-481.
- 3. Choudhary, M., Sarawgi, A.K. and Motiramani, N.K. (2004). Genetic variability of quality, yield and yield attributing traits in aromatic rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Adv. in Plant Sci., **17** (2): 485-490.
- 4. Fisher, R.A., Immer, F.R. and Tedin, O. (1932). The genetical interpretation of statistics of the third degree in the study of quantitative inheritance. Genet., 17: 107-24.
- 5. Hanson, W.D., Robinson, H.F., Comstock, R.E. (1956). Biometrical studies of yield in segregating population Korean Lespandeza. Agron. J., 48: 268-272.
- 6. Johnson, H. W., Robinson, H. F. and Comstock, R. E. (1955). Estimates of genetic and environmental variability in soybean. Agron. J. 47:314-318.
- 7. Karuppaiyan, R., Kapoor, C., Gopi, R. (2013). Variability, heritability and genetic divergence in lowland rice genotypes under the mid-hills of Sikkim. Oryza, 50(1): 81-84.
- 8. Lingaiah, N. (2015). Genetic variability, heritability and genetic advance in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). Asian J. Environ. Sci., **10**(1): 110-112.
- 9. Roychowdhury, R., Tah, J. (2011). Evaluation of genetic parameters for agro-metrical characters in Carnation genotypes. African Crop Sci. J., 19: 183-188.
- 10. Sahu, P.K., Mondal, S., Sharma, D., Vishwakarma, G., Kumar, V. and Das, B.K. (2017). InDel marker based genetic differentiation and genetic diversity in traditional rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) landraces of Chhattisgarh, India. PLoS ONE, 12(11): e0188864.
- 11. Sajid, M., Shahid, A.K., Haris, Kh., Javed, I., Ali, M.N.S. and Syed, M.A.S. (2015). Characterization of Rice (*Oryza Sativa* L.) Germplasm Through VariousAgro-Morphological Traits. Sci. Agri., 9 (2), 83-88.
- 12. Sarawgi, A.K., Parikh, M., Sharma, B. And Sharma, D. (2014). Phenotypic divergence for agro-morphological traits among dwarf and medium duration rice germplasms and interrelationships between their quantitative traits. The Bioscan, 9 (4): 1677-1681.
- 13. Subba Rao, L.V., Shiva Prasad, G., Chiranjivi, M., Chaitanya, U. And Surender, R. (2013). DUS characterization for farmer varieties of rice. IOSR J. of Agri. and Vete. Sci., 4(5): 35-43.
- 14. Tuhina, Khatun, Hanafi, M.M., Yusop, M.R., Wong, M.Y., Salleh, F.M. and Ferdous, J. (2015). Genetic Variation, Heritability, and Diversity Analysis of Upland Rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) Genotypes Based on Quantitative Traits. BioMed. Res. Int., pp: 1-7.
- 15. Veni, B.K. and Rani, N.S. (2006). Association of grain yield with quality characteristics and other yield components in rice. Oryza, **43**: 320-322.

Copyright: © **2019 Society of Education**. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.