Advances in Bioresearch Adv. Biores., Vol 10 (2) March 2019: 87-90 ©2019 Society of Education, India Print ISSN 0976-4585; Online ISSN 2277-1573 Journal's URL:http://www.soeagra.com/abr.html CODEN: ABRDC3 DOI: 10.15515/abr.0976-4585.10.2.8790

Advances in Bioresearch

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Combining ability analysis in Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.)

Siddappa, S., Ravindra, M. and Shashikanth, E.

Department of Vegetable Science

Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Arabhavi-591218, Karnataka, India

ABSTRACT

Twenty four crosses were developed by crossing three male sterile lines with each of eight testers to study the combining ability. Crosses were evaluated along with the parents in randomized block design with two replications. Results indicated that female line KCMS-44 and tester AL exhibited good general combiner for earliness and green fruit yield per hectare. The cross KCMS-44 x AL was best specific cross for green fruit yield per hectare on the basis of specific combining ability effects. This study suggested the exploitation of hybrid vigor in chilli. Keywords:

Hybrid vigor, Chilli, heterosis breeding, CGMS lines

Received 10.01.2019

Revised 21.02.2019

Accepted 03.03.2019

How to cite this article:

Siddappa, S., Ravindra, M. and Shashikanth, E.Combining ability analysis in Chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.). Adv. Biores. Vol 10 [2] March 2019: 87-90

INTRODUCTION

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) a spice as well as vegetable crop. Chillies are used in both green and dry form in all culinary preparations. One of the ways to improve the production and productivity is to harness the potential of heterosis breeding. The quantum jump in yield achieved through heterosis, has been reported for many of the economic attributes in chilli, especially for quantitative traits. The Hand emasculation and hand pollination is highly uneconomical method of F_1 seed production in chilli as it is tedious process and chilli flowers are small and delicate in nature, resulting in flower drop and poor fruit set after emasculation and even set fruits have few number of seeds per fruits. The only alternative is to have male sterility in female parent to solve this problem as being practiced in few other vegetables. Information on combining ability facilitates the choice of suitable parents for hybridization programme to develop promising F1 hybrids. Line x Tester design helps in determining both general and specific combining abilities of parents and hybrid combinations, respectively. The present study was, therefore under taken to study the potential of chilli genotypes to through combining ability analysis.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The experimental material consisted of three CGMS lines{ KCMS-31, KCMS-44 and KCMS-16} and eight testers{ ByadagiDabbi (BDB), Pant C-1(PC1), Ujjwal(UJ), Vietnam-2(VN-2), ArkaLohit(AL), PMR534, H-31 and LCA234 }. These parents were crossed in a Line x Tester design to get 24 F₁hybrids. All the 11 parents, 24 hybrids and one commercial hybrid *viz.*, Garima (BejoSheetal Seeds Pvt. Ltd.,) were sown in rows spaced at ten cm apart during the last week of July 2012. Five weeks old healthy seedlings of 35 entries and one commercial hybrid as check were transplanted during the second week of September 2012 in the experimental blocks in randomized block design with two replications. Each entry was represented by single row of 15 plants with spacing of 75 cm between the rows and 45 cm between the plants within row. Observation recorded on these parameters *viz.*, plant height(cm), days to first flowering and days to 50 per cent flowering and per cent fruit set. Yield parameters *viz.*, average fruit weight(g), number of green fruit per plant and green fruit yield per hectare(t/ha).Combining ability analysis was done as suggested by earlier researcher [1].

Siddapa et al

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis revealed existence of significant differences among genotypes for all the characters studied. The mean square (MS) due to parents vs crosses was significant for all the characters studied. The total genetic variability among the hybrids further divided into GCA lines, GCA testers and SCA hybrids. The partitioning revealed the non-significance of GCA lines for all the characters studied, GCA testers only for days to first flowering and SCA hybrids for all the characters studied(Table 1). The MS due to both, additive and non-additive gene effects were involved in the inheritance of the traits studied. Estimates of variance components, *viz*, GCA : SCA, which was less than unity for all the characters, revealed the predominance of non-additive gene effects.

-	Table 1. Analysis of variance					ior combining admity				
SI. No	Character	Genotypes	Parents	Parents vs Crosses	Crosses	Lines	Testers	Line x Tester	Error	GCA : SCA
	Degrees of freedom	34	10	1	23	2	7	14	34	ı
1	Plant height	104.41**	93.74**	529.01**	90.58**	56.6NS	56.05NS	112.71**	0.71	0.0136
2	Days to first flowering	44.42**	22.1**	891.53**	17.29**	14.31NS	30.73*	11**	2.21	0.0494
з	Days to 50 per cent flowering	58.62**	207.27**	529.11**	54.65**	75.58NS	58.13NS	49.91**	1.44	0.0067
4	Per cent fruit set (%)	163.01**	135.28**	651.93**	153.81**	202.75NS	138.06NS	154.7**	2.38	0.0004
л	Average Fruit weight (g)	77.78**	28.7**	126.63**	96.99**	68.25NS	70.3NS	114.44**	0.35	0.0106
6	Number of fruits per plant.	409.43**	169.85**	1266.57**	476.32**	446.19NS	386.5NS	525.54**	3.50	0.0065
7	Green fruit yield per ha (t)	22.80**	16.05**	172.08**	19.25**	2.44NS	14.71NS	23.91**	0.54	0.0138

Table 1. Analysis of variance for combining ability

*and ** indicate significance of values at p=0.05 and p=0.01, respectively. NS :Non significant, DAT : Days after transplanting

Siddapa et al

Table 2.Estimates of GCA effects of parents for various characters in chim.									
Parents	Plant height	Days to first flowering	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Per cent fruit set (%)	Average Fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant.	Green fruit yield per ha (t)		
LINES									
KCMS-31	1.61**	0.31	-2.45**	-3.12**	-2.38**	-4.28**	-0.28		
KCMS-44	-2.06**	-1.06**	0.79**	3.87**	1.18**	5.89**	0.44*		
KCMS-16	0.45*	0.75*	1.66**	-0.75*	1.20**	-1.61**	-0.16		
TESTERS									
ByadagiDabbi	4.12**	4.52**	6.27**	-2.02**	-5.00**	-3.78**	-1.63**		
Pant C-1	1.97**	0.02	-0.72*	3.64**	-1.93**	-6.13**	-1.08**		
H-31	3.22**	-0.14	2.27**	-10.68**	6.68**	-2.52**	-2.13**		
PMR534	-3.99**	2.02**	1.27**	-1.02*	-1.86**	-3.09**	0.64**		
Ujjwal	0.72**	-1.81**	-2.22**	2.97**	0.79**	-8.43**	0.24		
LCA234	-2.96**	-1.31**	-2.89**	2.47**	0.98**	-0.58	0.52**		
Vietnam-2	-2.97**	-0.97*	-2.06**	1.14**	-1.13**	14.47**	0.72**		
ArkaLohit	-0.1	-2.31**	-1.89**	3.47**	1.46**	10.09**	2.72**		
CD at 5%(lines)	0.85	0.76	0.61	0.78	0.30	0.95	0.37		
CD at 5%(testers)	1.13	1.01	0.82	1.05	0.40	1.28	0.50		

Table 2.Estimates of GCA effects of parents for various characters in chilli.

*and**indicate significance of values at p=0.05 and p=0.01, respectively NS :Non significant

Table 3: Estimates of SCA effects of hybrids for various characters in chiili

Table 5: Estimates of SCA effects of hybrids for various characters in cliffi									
Plant height	Days to first flowering	Days to 50 per cent flowering	Per cent fruit set (%)	Average Fruit weight (g)	Number of fruits per plant.	Green fruit yield per ha (t)			
-7.13**	2.35*	2.29**	-2.54**	4.14**	-5.14**	-2.64**			
-2.08**	0.35	1.29	-1.70	-4.29**	4.82**	-1.99**			
-6.04**	0.52	-4.20	0.62	12.45**	-15.26**	-2.39**			
6.78**	-0.14	-0.70	-11.54**	-2.05**	-10.71**	1.31*			
-2.98**	-1.31	0.79	-9.04**	-6.20**	-5.79**	2.91**			
6.60**	-1.31	-1.54	4.95**	-4.94**	18.27**	3.18**			
-0.61	0.35	5.62**	14.79**	5.02**	8.21**	-0.91			
5.45**	-0.81	-3.54**	4.45**	-4.12**	5.59**	0.53**			
12.09**	-1.71	0.04	-3.04**	1.15**	-12.16**	3.12**			
6.89**	0.22	-4.95**	-3.20**	8.47**	-20.87**	4.07**			
4.83**	2.39*	2.54**	1.12	-12.30**	1.53	-1.22**			
-4.23**	-2.77**	-4.45**	3.95**	-1.22**	4.10**	1.23**			
0.64	2.06*	4.54**	0.45	10.39**	5.88**	0.03			
-13.66**	3.06**	7.20**	-3.54**	0.80	-3.41*	-1.74**			
-2.55**	-2.77*	-6.12**	2.79*	-4.56**	7.02**	0.30			
-4.02**	-0.43	1.20	1.45	-2.73**	17.91**	-5.79**			
-4.96**	-0.58	-2.33**	5.58**	-5.29**	17.30**	-0.47			
-4.81**	-0.58	3.66**	4.91**	-4.18**	16.05**	-2.07**			
1.20	-2.91**	1.66	-1.75	-0.15	13.72**	3.62**			
-2.55**	2.91**	5.16**	7.58**	3.27**	6.61**	-2.55**			
2.33**	-0.75	-5.33**	8.58**	-4.18**	-0.08	-2.95**			
7.06**	-1.75	-5.66**	-1.41	4.13**	-14.86**	-1.43**			
3.17**	2.41*	0.50	-17.58**	-0.45	-15.23**	0.61			
-1.43*	1.25	2.33**	-5.91**	6.86**	-23.51**	5.26**			
1.21	2.14	1.72	1.09	0.85	2.69	1.40			
1.63	2.87	2.32	2.22	1.14	3.61	1.89			
	-7.13** -2.08** -2.08** -6.04** 6.78** -2.98** 6.60** -0.61 5.45** 12.09** 6.89** 4.83** -4.23** 0.64 -13.66** -2.55** -4.02** -4.96** -4.81** 1.20 -2.55** 2.33** 7.06** 3.17** -1.43* 1.21	Pan thei eightDays to mg-7.13**2.35*-2.08**0.35-6.04**0.526.78**-0.14-2.98**-1.316.60**-1.31-0.610.355.45**-0.8112.09**-1.716.89**0.224.83**2.39*-4.23**-2.77**0.642.06*-13.66**3.06**-2.55**-2.77*-4.02**-0.43-4.96**-0.58-4.81**-0.581.20-2.91**-2.55**2.91**2.33**-0.757.06**-1.753.17**2.41*-1.43*1.251.212.14	Pan theiDay werDay werDay wer-7.13**2.35*2.29**-2.08**0.351.29-6.04**0.52-4.206.78**-0.14-0.70-2.98**-1.310.796.60**-1.31-1.54-0.610.355.62**5.45**-0.81-3.54**12.09**-1.710.046.89**0.22-4.95**4.83**2.39*2.54**-4.23**-2.77**-4.45**0.642.06*4.54**-13.66**3.06**7.20**-2.55**-2.77*-6.12**-4.02**-0.431.20-4.96**-0.58-2.33**-4.81**-0.583.66**1.20-2.91**1.66-2.55**2.91**5.16**2.33**-0.75-5.33**7.06**-1.75-5.66**3.17**2.41*0.50-1.43*1.252.33**	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			

*and**indicate significance of values at p=0.05 and p=0.01, respectively NS : Non significant

BDB :ByadagiDabbi PC1 : Pant C-1 UJ : Ujjwal VN-2 : Vietnam-2 AL : ArkaLohit

The estimates of general and specific combining ability effects provide a basis for selected parents with high general combining ability and those leading to high specific combining ability of the resultant

Siddapa et al

crosses. The estimates of general combining ability of parents reported (Table 2). Among female lines KCMS-44exhibited negative and significant gca effects for days to first flowering, per cent fruit set, number of fruits per plant and green fruit yield per ha. The female line KCMS-31showed maximum gca effects for plant height and also exhibited negative and significant gca effects for days to 50 percent flowering. Among testers AL exhibited maximum and significant gca effects for days to first flowering and green fruit yield per ha. Testers BDB, LCA-234, PC-1, H-31 and VN-2 exhibited significant and maximum gca effects for plant height, days to 50 per cent flowering, per cent fruit set, average fruit weight and number of fruits per plant respectively. Significant gca effects for these characters were similarly reported by earlier researcher [2,3 and 4].

For exploitation of heterosis the information of gca should be supplemented with sca and hybrid performance. Estimates of SCA effects of hybrids are reported in table 3. Out of 24 F₁s, nine hybrids exhibited desirable SCA effects for plant height, three crosses for days to first flowering and seven crosses for days to 50 per cent flowering, nine crosses for green fruit set and average fruit weight, 12 crosses for number of fruits per plant and eight crosses for green fruit weight per ha. Among crosses, the cross KCMS-44 x BDB for plant height, KCMS-16 x H-31for days to first flowering, KCMS-44 x VN-2 for days to 50 per cent flowering, KCMS-31 x VN-2 for per cent fruit set, KCMS-31 x H-31 for average fruit weight, KCMS-31 x LCA234 for number fruits per plant and KCMS-44 x AL for green fruit yield per ha were exhibited highest and significant sca in desirable direction and these crosses were found to be a superior combiner for these characters. Similar results for these characters have been reported in earlier studies for plant height,] and for number of green fruits per plant, for days to first flowering (negative) and average fruit weight in desirable directions[2,5 and 6]. Hasanuzzaman *et al.* [3] and Chandrakala [1] for days to 50 per cent flowering and green fruit yield per ha respectively in chilli. This study suggested the exploitation of hybrid vigor in chilli.

REFERENCES

- 1. Chandrakala, 2005, Exploitation of male sterility in development of chilli (*Capsicum annum* L.) hybrids.M. Sc. (Hort.) Thesis, Uni. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- Chowdhury, M. J., Ahmad, S., NazimUddin, M., Quamruzzaman, A. K. M. and Patwary, M. M. A., 2011, Expression of heterosis for productive traits in F₁brinjal (*Solanum melongenaL.*) hybrids. A Scientific Journal of Krishi Foundation., 8 (2): 8-13.
- 3. Hasanuzzaman, M., Hakim, M. A., Jannatu, F., Islam, M. M. and Rahman, L., 2012, Combining ability and heritability analysis for yield and yield contributing characters in chilli (*Capsicum annuumL.*) landraces. Plant omicsJournal.,**5** (4):337-344.
- 4. Kempthorne, O., 1957, An introduction to genetic statistics. John Wiley and Sons, New York, pp. 408-711.
- 5. Khereba, A. H., Gharib, A. A., Mahmoud, S. M., Ahmed, Y. M. and Sayed, A. A., 2008, A study on the combining ability in chilli pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L. and *Capsicumchinense*Jacq.) using line x tester analysis. Bull. facu. agric, **59** (2): 116-122.
- 6. Prabhudeva, S. A., 2003, Variability, genetic diversity and heterosis study in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*L.). M. Sc. Thesis, Uni. Agric. Sci., Dharwad (India).
- 7. Srivastava, J. P., Srivastava, D. K. and Pandey, S. K., 2005, Combining ability studies in chilli (*Capsicum annuum*L.). Farm Sci. J.,**14** (1): 40-43.
- 8. Vaishnav, R. S, Srivastava, K, Singh, P. K., 2009, Heterosis and combining ability in chilli for yield and yield contributing traits (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Asian. J. Bio. Sci., **4** (2): 244-248.

Copyright: © **2019 Society of Education**. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.