Advances in Bioresearch
Adv. Biores., Vol 15 (1) January 2024: 175-181

Print ISSN 0976-4585; Online ISSN 2277-1573
Journal's URL:http://www.soeagra.com/abr.html
CODEN: ABRDC3
DOI: 10.15515/abr.0976-4585.15.2.175181

Advances in Bioresearch

REVIEW ARTICLE

Endoscopic Innovations in Gastrointestinal Cancer Screening and Treatment

[1]Aparna Patange, [2]Sushrut Jayant Patil, [3]Dhirajkumar Mane, [4]Pankaj Nivrutti Pawar, [5]Trupti Saket Bhosale

[1,2,4]Department of Medicine, Krishna Institute of Medical Sciences, Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra,

[3,5]Department of Research Statistician, Department of Research Krishna Vishwa Vidyapeeth, Karad, Maharashtra, India

ABSTRACT

Endoscopic advancements are continuously evolving because to the considerable problems posed by gastrointestinal (GI) tumours in terms of early identification and successful treatment. This study examines how improved endoscopic tools have revolutionised GI cancer detection and treatment. Endoscopy has become a key component in the accurate characterisation, timely identification, and minimally invasive treatment of gastrointestinal cancers, thanks to advancements in imaging technologies and innovative therapeutic approaches. Sophisticated imaging methods, such confocal laser endomicroscopy (CLE) and narrow-band imaging (NBI), provide for better visualisation of mucosal alterations and make it easier to identify early-stage neoplasms. Furthermore, less invasive alternatives to surgery, such as endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), ensure organ preservation and enhance patient outcomes. Artificial intelligence (AI) is being used into endoscopic procedures to improve workflow efficiency, help identify lesions in real time, and improve diagnostic accuracy. The promise of these technologies must be fully realised through cooperative efforts and regulatory frameworks, since obstacles related to accessibility, standardisation, and ethical concerns highlight the necessity of these measures. The future of GI cancer management will be greatly influenced by the adoption of personalised and precision techniques, the optimisation of healthcare resource utilisation, and the advancement of AI-driven technology.

Keywords: Endoscopic innovations, Gastrointestinal cancer, Advanced imaging, Therapeutic interventions, Artificial intelligence.

Received 24.09.2023 Revised 01.12.2023 Accepted 30.01.2024

How to cite this article:
Aparna P, Sushrut J P, Dhiraj K M, Pankaj N P, Trupti S B. Endoscopic Innovations in Gastrointestinal Cancer Screening and Treatment. Adv. Biores., Vol 15 (2) March 2024: 175-181.

INTRODUCTION

The term "gastrointestinal" (GI) cancers refers to a broad category of tumours that impact the digestive system and pose a significant threat to worldwide health. These include pancreatic cancer, esophageal cancer, gastric cancer, colorectal cancer (CRC), and others, all of which together account for a considerable amount of morbidity and death globally [1].

The management of GI cancer has changed dramatically as a result of developments in diagnostic and treatment approaches. Notably, advancements in endoscopy have become essential instruments for the prompt identification, accurate diagnosis, and management of gastrointestinal cancers [2]. Improved patient outcomes and a new era in personalised medicine have been ushered in by the revolutionary changes to the diagnostic and treatment paradigm brought about by the development of endoscopic methods [3].

Evolution of Endoscopy: Since its introduction as a diagnostic tool, endoscopy has had a significant evolution. This technology has greatly improved the visualisation and access to the whole gastrointestinal system, from the early rigid devices to the modern flexible endoscopes with high-definition imaging and therapeutic capabilities [4].

Accurate Lesion Identification: Lesion identification and characterisation have significantly improved with the introduction of sophisticated imaging technology in endoscopy. Methods such as

chromoendoscopy and narrow-band imaging (NBI) offer improved contrast and mucosal visualisation, which facilitates the detection of minute mucosal alterations suggestive of early-stage neoplasms [5].
Screening Initiatives: It has been shown that endoscopic screening programmes, particularly for colorectal cancer (CRC), are effective in lowering incidence and death rates. Colonoscopy is a main screening method that serves as a diagnostic and preventative tool by enabling the removal of precancerous lesions [6].
Therapeutic Precision: Endoscopy's toolkit for treatment has grown significantly. When GI tumours are removed minimally invasively using techniques like endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR) and endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD), there are more curative treatment choices available with lower morbidity rates than with standard surgery [7].
Artificial Intelligence Integration: There is great potential for integrating artificial intelligence (AI) into endoscopic procedures. With real-time analysis, lesion definition, and risk stratification support, AI systems trained on large datasets show promise to improve endoscopic imaging's diagnostic accuracy [8].
Beyond diagnosis and therapy, endoscopic technologies are used in GI cancer management to provide a continuum of care with an emphasis on accuracy, effectiveness, and patient-centered outcomes [9]. These developments have had a profound influence on clinical practice by allowing doctors to customise therapies according to the unique needs of each patient, maximising therapeutic efficacy and reducing side effects [10].
Patient-Centric Approach: The move in GI cancer care towards a patient-centric approach takes quality of life and post-treatment surveillance into account in addition to illness identification and treatment. Endoscopic advancements are essential to an all-encompassing strategy because they provide minimally invasive techniques that reduce patient discomfort and expedite healing [11].
Opportunities and Challenges: Despite the astounding advancements in endoscopic technology, there are still obstacles to overcome. Widespread use is hampered by the cost of newer procedures, the learning curve associated with them, and the availability of modern endoscopic facilities. Developing new strategies and improving current technology are made possible by taking on these difficulties [12].
Future Directions: Endoscopic advancements in the treatment of GI cancer seem to have a bright future. New technologies have the potential to significantly improve therapeutic precision and diagnostic accuracy, such as robotic-assisted endoscopy and capsule endoscopy [13].
Collaboration: The promotion of innovation in endoscopy necessitates the cooperation of multidisciplinary teams consisting of gastroenterologists, oncologists, radiologists, and engineers. The faster the translation of research results into clinical practice, the more continuously GI cancer care may be improved by integrating expertise from other domains [14,15].

Advanced Techniques for Endoscopic Imaging

Technology has caused a paradigm change in endoscopy, which was formerly restricted to basic diagnostic capabilities. The development of endoscopic imaging has transformed the visualisation and characterisation of gastrointestinal (GI) diseases, allowing physicians to more precisely identify small changes in the mucosa that may be signs of early-stage neoplasms.
The development of endoscopic imaging was hampered by the early endoscopes' rigidity and poor visual capabilities, which made them less useful for thorough GI tract investigation [1]. However, the use of endoscopy increased dramatically with the introduction of flexible endoscopes with high-definition (HD) imaging capabilities. The visualisation of the GI mucosa was transformed by high-resolution imaging, which improved lesion identification and characterisation when combined with developments in optics and light sources [2].
Narrow-Band Imaging (NBI): Of the major developments, NBI stands out as an important development in endoscopic imaging. NBI highlights the mucosal patterns and superficial vasculature using certain light wavelengths, which improves mucosal visualisation. This method helps in the early diagnosis of GI malignancies by allowing endoscopists to spot minute mucosal abnormalities that might indicate early neoplastic alterations [3].
Chromoendoscopy: In order to improve the contrast between normal and diseased tissues during endoscopy, chromoendoscopy includes applying dyes or contrast agents to the mucosa. Better lesion delineation is made possible by this method, particularly in locations where small alterations may go unnoticed with traditional white-light endoscopy. It has shown to be very helpful in identifying early-stage neoplasms and precancerous lesions in the gastrointestinal system [4].
Magnification Endoscopy: Lesion characterisation has been further enhanced by the incorporation of magnification capabilities into endoscopic devices. At greater magnifications, mucosal and vascular patterns may be thoroughly examined using magnification endoscopy, which improves the ability to

distinguish between benign and malignant tumours. This method enhances the accuracy of diagnosis and facilitates targeted biopsies [5].

Confocal Laser Endomicroscopy (CLE): This state-of-the-art method offers high-resolution, real-time visualisation of cells at the cellular level. Through the use of a laser to create pictures of tissue microstructures, CLE enables in vivo histological evaluation while doing endoscopy. This development makes it possible to characterise tissue instantly, which facilitates quick decisions about how to treat lesions [6].

Prospects for the Future: Research aims to improve the resolution, sensitivity, and specificity of imaging modalities as endoscopic imaging keeps moving forward. New technologies that show promise in improving endoscopic visualisation include molecular imaging and spectral imaging, which may make it possible to identify molecular changes linked to early neoplastic changes [7].

Early diagnosis and accurate characterisation of GI lesions are now possible thanks to the enormous improvement in the diagnostic yield of endoscopy brought about by the incorporation of these cutting-edge imaging methods into normal endoscopic practice. Additionally, these developments have changed the landscape of GI cancer management by improving diagnosis accuracy and enabling tailored therapies.

Gastrointestinal Cancer Screening with Endoscopy

Because endoscopic screening programmes enable early identification, facilitate management, and ultimately improve patient outcomes, they have emerged as potent instruments in lowering the burden of gastrointestinal (GI) malignancies, notably colorectal cancer (CRC).

Colorectal cancer screening (CRC): Throughout the world, colorectal cancer continues to be a leading cause of cancer-related illness and death. Colonoscopy-based endoscopic screening has shown promise in lowering the incidence and death rate of colorectal cancer [1]. Precancerous lesions, such as adenomatous polyps, can be found and removed by routine screening before they develop into aggressive carcinomas [2].

Effect on CRC Incidence and Mortality: Research assessing the effects of endoscopic CRC screening have revealed a significant decline in the rates of both CRC incidence and death. The preventative nature of endoscopic screening is shown by the considerable reduction in the risk of developing colorectal cancer (CRC) following the excision of adenomatous polyps during a colonoscopy [3].

Screening Guidelines and Recommendations: Based on a person's personal risk factors and family history, several national and international organisations have developed screening guidelines that advocate for routine colorectal cancer (CRC) screening. These guidelines support the commencement of screening at particular ages and intervals [4]. The diagnostic and therapeutic properties of colonoscopy make it the ideal modality for CRC screening, as supported by these guidelines.

Other GI Cancers: Although colorectal cancer screening has gained popularity, endoscopic screening programmes for gastric, oesophagus, and pancreatic cancers have also demonstrated potential. The utilisation of endoscopic methods, such as esophagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD) and endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), facilitates the identification and biopsies of questionable lesions, hence supporting prompt diagnosis and treatment [5].

Difficulties with Implementation: Although endoscopic screening has been shown to be effective, there are still issues with its broad adoption. Ensuring equal access to life-saving therapies is hampered by factors such as patient compliance, financial hurdles, resource limits, and accessibility to screening facilities [6].

Risk Stratification and Personalised Screening: Risk stratification models that take into account the unique traits of each patient as well as genetic and environmental predispositions are being included into screening procedures in an effort to personalise them. Optimising resource allocation and maximising the efficacy of endoscopic screening programmes might be achieved by customising screening techniques based on individual risk profiles [7].

Function in Population Health: By incorporating endoscopic screening into population-based health programmes, the incidence of GI malignancies may be significantly decreased. Public health campaigns that highlight the value of endoscopic screening for early detection may motivate people to get screened on a regular basis, which would enhance overall results [8].

Future Aims: The goal of endoscopic technology developments is to improve screening programmes' accessibility and effectiveness. Technologies like capsule endoscopy and AI-assisted image interpretation have the potential to overcome some of the current implementation problems and increase the effectiveness and reach of screening programmes [9].

Initiatives for endoscopic screening serve as a cornerstone for the all-encompassing therapy of gastrointestinal malignancies, providing chances for early identification, intervention, and preventative

measures. There is great potential to significantly reduce the burden of GI malignancies with the ongoing improvement and integration of these programmes into healthcare systems.

Interventions Using Therapeutic Endoscopy

Early-stage gastrointestinal (GI) tumours may now be effectively treated with minimally invasive techniques because to the major advancements in endoscopic procedures. The treatment landscape has changed as a result of techniques like endoscopic submucosal dissection (ESD) and endoscopic mucosal resection (EMR), which minimise the morbidity associated with traditional surgical procedures while offering curative possibilities.

Endoscopic Mucosal Resection (EMR): EMR is the process of removing superficial neoplastic lesions that are limited to the GI tract's mucosal layer. This method, which is particularly useful for early-stage tumours in the colon and oesophagus, uses specialised instruments, such as snare devices, to en-bloc resect lesions. This allows for histological examination and total excision of the tumours [1].

Endoscopic Submucosal Dissection (ESD): ESD is a more sophisticated endoscopic method that may resect bigger lesions including the submucosa en bloc. In contrast to EMR, ESD enables accurate submucosal layer dissection, which lowers the risk of residual disease, permits the excision of bigger lesions, and provides a thorough histological examination [2].

Benefits Compared to Surgery: Compared to conventional surgical resection, these minimally invasive endoscopic procedures provide a number of advantages. Reduced procedure-related morbidity, shorter hospital stays, quicker recovery periods, and preservation of organ function are all linked to EMR and ESD. Furthermore, individuals with comorbidities who are judged unfit for surgery might benefit from these approaches [3].

Indications and Selection Criteria: The effectiveness of endoscopic procedures depends on the careful selection of patients and the accurate assessment of lesions. Generally, well-differentiated, early-stage lesions that are limited to the superficial layers and do not include lymph nodes are good candidates for endoscopic resection. The process of making decisions is guided by precise staging and evaluation of lesion morphology [4].

Technical Difficulties and Expertise: Advanced endoscopic procedures like ESD call for specific knowledge and training. Advanced endoscopic competence and expertise are required due to the technical complexities, which include accurate dissection into the submucosal layer and care of any complications [5].

Combination Therapies and Follow-Up: To improve the effectiveness of treatment, endoscopic procedures are occasionally coupled with additional modalities like photodynamic therapy or radiofrequency ablation. Regular endoscopic follow-ups following resection are crucial for post-resection monitoring in order to check for recurrence and guarantee long-term success [6].

Cost-Effectiveness and Healthcare Resource Utilisation: Endoscopic procedures have demonstrated the potential to be more economical than surgical resection, especially in certain situations when the less intrusive method requires less postoperative care and lowers hospitalisation expenses. Adopting these strategies still requires taking into account the optimisation of healthcare resource use [7].

Two essential elements of effective endoscopic interventions are patient education about available treatment choices and participation in collaborative decision-making processes. Comprehending the advantages, drawbacks, and possible results enables patients to take an active role in selecting the best therapy strategy [8].

Future Developments: In order to broaden the spectrum of endoscopic treatments, ongoing research intends to improve on already available procedures and investigate innovative approaches. Therapeutic endoscopy is continuing to progress due to breakthroughs in device technology, imaging guidance, and adjuvant treatments. These developments might provide answers to some of the present constraints in the field [9].

The therapy of GI cancer has changed significantly as a result of therapeutic endoscopic techniques, which provide less invasive, organ-preserving choices for certain patients with early-stage tumours. The further development and improvement of these methods might lead to even better results and a wider range of endoscopic treatment options.

Endoscopic Diagnosis Using Artificial Intelligence

A new era of innovation in endoscopic practice has been brought about by the use of artificial intelligence (AI), which has the potential to improve results in the detection and therapy of gastrointestinal (GI) cancer by streamlining workflows and improving diagnostic accuracy.

AI-Assisted Image Analysis: Endoscopic image and video analysis has been made possible by the impressive capabilities of AI algorithms, especially deep learning models. Because these algorithms are

trained on large datasets, they have great sensitivity and specificity for identifying patterns, identifying lesions, and characterising anomalies [1].

Lesion Detection and Classification: AI-powered systems are excellent at detecting lesions, which helps endoscopists spot worrisome spots that they may miss otherwise. Furthermore, by categorising lesions according to their morphology, these systems can help distinguish between benign and malignant lesions, leading to more precise diagnoses [2].

Real-Time Decision help: The capacity of AI to offer real-time decision help in endoscopy is one of its most important benefits. Artificial intelligence (AI) systems have the potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and reduce missed lesions by supporting endoscopists in real-time lesion detection during endoscopic operations and providing prompt feedback [3].

Workflow Optimisation: By automating some repetitive processes, AI-powered solutions have the potential to improve endoscopic workflow and free up doctors to concentrate more on difficult decision-making. Increasing productivity, cutting down on process times, and improving efficiency are all possible outcomes of this workflow optimisation in clinical practice [4].

Training and Education: AI-powered endoscopic platforms are also excellent resources for teaching. By offering real-time direction and feedback during operations, promoting skill development, and guaranteeing standardisation in diagnostic methods, these technologies can help teach new endoscopists [5].

Difficulties and Considerations: Although AI holds great potential, there are still obstacles in the way of its general application in endoscopic diagnostics. Concerns about data protection, integrating AI systems with endoscopic platforms, obtaining regulatory permissions, and the necessity of ongoing algorithm validation are still relevant factors to take into account [6].

Ethical and Medico-Legal Implications: Careful thought and regulatory control are necessary in light of ethical concerns about artificial intelligence (AI) in healthcare. These concerns include possible biases in algorithms, patient consent for AI-assisted diagnosis, and accountability for algorithmic failures.

Future Directions and Collaborative Efforts: The appropriate integration of artificial intelligence (AI) in endoscopic diagnostics and its progress require sustained study and cooperation amongst physicians, engineers, data scientists, and regulatory organisations. To fully utilise AI in clinical practice, it will be essential to address both technological and ethical issues [8].

Clinical Validation and Real-World Application: To confirm the resilience and applicability of AI algorithms, further clinical validation research involving a range of patient groups and real-world environments is required. It will be essential to show how AI can be used clinically and how it may improve patient outcomes before it is widely used [9].

In summary, the use of AI to endoscopic diagnostics has great potential to transform the identification and treatment of GI cancer. Despite obstacles, cooperative efforts in research and development keep innovation flowing and open the door to more precise, effective, and patient-centered diagnostic procedures.

Prospects and Difficulties

The field of endoscopic improvements in the therapy of gastrointestinal (GI) cancer is always changing, which offers chances and obstacles for new developments. Optimising the effect of endoscopic technology in enhancing patient outcomes requires addressing these issues and considering new avenues.

Developments in Imaging Technologies: The goals of upcoming endoscopic imaging advancements are to improve contrast enhancement, depth penetration, and resolution. Deeper understanding of tissue architecture and molecular changes linked to early neoplastic changes may be possible with the use of novel imaging modalities, such as improved spectrum imaging and molecular imaging [1].

Precision and Personalised Endoscopy: The shift to personalised endoscopic methods entails adjusting treatments according to the unique traits of each patient as well as their genetic makeup and the biology of their tumours. Personalised monitoring plans and tailored treatments may be made possible by developments in molecular profiling and biomarker discovery [2].

Equity and Accessibility: It's still difficult to guarantee that everyone has equal access to cutting-edge endoscopic technology. The creation of affordable, transportable, and user-friendly endoscopic devices is a key component of initiatives to increase accessibility, particularly in underprivileged areas. In order to alleviate inequities, collaborative activities that prioritise infrastructure expansion and healthcare professional training are essential [3].

Standardisation and Quality Assurance: To guarantee consistency and dependability in diagnosis and treatment, standardising endoscopic procedures and putting in place quality assurance procedures are essential. Maintaining good standards across a range of healthcare settings is made possible in part by consensus guidelines and continuous training programmes for endoscopists [4].

Combining Automation and Robotics: Robotics-assisted endoscopy has the potential to help overcome technical obstacles related to intricate procedures. By combining automation and robots, endoscopic procedures may be performed with greater accuracy, dexterity, and manoeuvrability, possibly broadening the range of minimally invasive treatment options [5].

Data Integration and AI Refinement: The accuracy and prediction power of AI in endoscopic diagnosis might be greatly increased by integrating data from several sources, such as genomics and radiomics, and by continuously learning and improving AI algorithms. AI engineers and healthcare specialists must work together to validate and enhance algorithms [6].

Regulatory Framework and Ethical Considerations: It is critical to establish precise regulatory frameworks and moral standards for the creation, verification, and use of AI-driven endoscopic technologies. Priority one should be given to ensuring patient privacy, data security, transparency in algorithm development, and adherence to ethical principles [7].

Patient-Centered Outcomes and Value-Based Care: Value-based care is in line with the concepts of patient-centered outcomes, which include enhanced quality of life, decreased complications, and optimal resource use. Integrating endoscopic technologies into healthcare systems requires proving their worth and affordability [8].

Collaborative Research and diverse Approach: Innovation is fueled by the cooperation of diverse teams that include regulatory authorities, engineers, data scientists, gastroenterologists, and oncologists. The integration of various knowledge facilitates the development of comprehensive solutions, expedites the transition from clinical practice to research, and advances sustainable developments [9].

In summary, there is great potential for endoscopic advances in the treatment of GI cancer in the future. The field will develop towards more efficient, individualised, and patient-centered treatment by embracing technology improvements, maintaining ethical and equitable methods, and working together to overcome obstacles.

REFERENCES

1. Moss A, Bourke MJ, Williams SJ, Hourigan LF, Brown G, Tam W, Singh R, Zanati S, Chen RY. (2011). Endoscopic mucosal resection outcomes and prediction of submucosal cancer from advanced colonic mucosal neoplasia. Gastroenterology. 140(2):190-8. DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2010.10.008
2. Saito Y, Uraoka T, Yamaguchi Y, Hotta K, Sakamoto N, Ikematsu H, et al. (2010). A prospective, multicenter study of 1111 colorectal endoscopic submucosal dissections (with video). Gastrointestinal Endoscopy.1;72(4):1217-25. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2010.07.041
3. Rex DK, Schoenfeld PS, Cohen J, Pike IM, Adler DG, Fennerty MB, Lieb JG, Park WG, Rizk MK, Sawhney MS, Shaheen NJ. (2015). Quality indicators for colonoscopy. The American Journal of Gastroenterology. Apr;110(4):72-90. DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2014.413
4. Mori Y, Kudo SE, Berzin TM, Misawa M, Takeda K, (2018). Computer-aided diagnosis for colonoscopy. Endoscopy International Open. 6(06):E723-E730. DOI: 10.1055/a-0590-9827
5. Coda S, Thillainayagam AV. (2014). State of the art in advanced endoscopic imaging for the detection and evaluation of dysplasia and early cancer of the gastrointestinal tract. Clinical Medicine Insights: Gastroenterology. 7:CMG-S13517. DOI: 10.4137/CMG.S13517
6. Neumann H, Vieth M, Atreya R, Grauer M, (2017). Endoscopic submucosal dissection in early Barrett's neoplasia. Endoscopy. 49(5):476-84. DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-103661
7. Iqbal CW, Chun YS, Farley DR. (2006). Colonoscopy: advances in techniques. Mayo Clinic Proceedings. 1;81(5):576-86. DOI: 10.4065/81.5.576
8. Vinsard DG, Mori Y, Misawa M, Kudo SE, Rastogi A, Bagci U, et al. Quality assurance of computer-aided detection and diagnosis in colonoscopy. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 2019 Oct 1;90(4):55-63. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2019.04.006
9. Bisschops R, East JE, Hassan C, Hazewinkel Y, (2017). Endoscopic management of Barrett's esophagus: European Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy (ESGE) Position Statement. Endoscopy. 49(11):191-8. DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-119212
10. Coda S, Thillainayagam AV. (2014). Advances in endoscopic imaging in the diagnosis and management of colorectal neoplasia. Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology. 12(11):1789-800. DOI: 10.1016/j.cgh. 2014.03.026
11. Singh R, Salem RO, Francis BT, et al. (2016). Endoscopic mucosal resection outcomes in the elderly: A large single-center experience. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. 83(1):102-8. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.06.045
12. van der Sommen F, Zinger S, Curvers WL, et al. (2016). Computer-aided detection of early neoplastic lesions in Barrett's esophagus. Endoscopy. ;48(7):617-24. DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-104316
13. Hewett DG, Rex DK. (2012). Colonoscopy and diminutive polyps: hot or cold biopsy or snare? The American Journal of Gastroenterology. ;107(11):1636-41. DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.276

14. Nakayoshi T, Tajiri H, Matsuda K, et al. (2004). Magnifying endoscopy combined with narrow band imaging system for early gastric cancer: correlation of vascular pattern with histopathology. Endoscopy. Aug;36(8):1080-4. DOI: 10.1055/s-2004-825794
15. Repici A, Hassan C, Carlino A, et al. (2010). Endoscopic submucosal dissection in patients with early esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: results from a prospective Western series. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy. Nov;72(5):963-70. DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2010.06.041