Advances in Bioresearch Adv. Biores., Vol4 (3) September 2013: 22-25 ©2013 Society of Education, India Print ISSN 0976-4585; Online ISSN 2277-1573 Journal's URL:http://www.soeagra.com/abr/abr.htm CODEN: ABRDC3



## **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# An Investigation on Larvicidal Efficacy of some Indigenous Fish Species of Assam, India

H.K. Phukon and S. P. Biswas Department of Life Sciences Dibrugarh University, Dibrugarh, Assam

## ABSTRACT

Three indigenous fish species of Assam viz., Channa gachua, Puntius sophore and Trichogaster fasciata were documented as larvivorous fish. Experiments were conducted in both day and night with a time period of 12h and 24h respectively. Out of these three species, C. gachua was found to consume a maximum number of mosquito larvae  $(179\pm21.21/hr)$  followed by P. sophore and T. fasciata with a maximum of  $66.33\pm1.52$  and  $45.67\pm0.58$  respectively. It was also observed that all the fish species consumed maximum numbers of mosquito larvae at first 30 minutes and thereafter the feeding intensity decreased.

KEY WORDS: indigenous, larvivorous fish, mosquito larvae, feeding intensity

## Received 01/06/2013; Accepted 19/07/2013

©2013 Society of Education, India

#### INTRODUCTION

Mosquitoes are known vectors for transmission of disease throughout the world, including the pathogens responsible for encephalitis, equine infectious anaemia, malaria, yellow fever, dengue and filariasis [1,2,3,4,5,6].In order to control mosquito, mainly chemical and biological approaches are employed. Environmental protection agencies have banned or placed severe restrictions on the use of many pesticides, which were formerly used in mosquito control programmes, and there are now fewer adulticides available than there have been for the last 20 year [7].

The biological control of mosquitoes and other pests involves introducing into the environment their natural enemies, such as parasites, disease organisms and predatory animals [8]. Larvivorous fishes are more efficient to control mosquito at their larval stages. Larvivorous fish like *Gambusia affinis* and *Poecilia reticulata* have been used worldwide for controlling mosquito larvae.

Recently, researchers have evaluated local fish species to identify appropriate local biological control agents [6]. A number of studies have found the introduced fish species, *Gambusia affinis* and *Poecilia reticulata*, and indigenous species to be effective at suppressing mosquito populations breeding places [9, 10, 11]. But, it must be concerned when introducing exotic fish for mosquito control is their impact on native species [12, 13]. The introduction of *G. affinis* in Greece led to a decline of the endemic fish species *Valencia letourneuxi* Sauvage [14] and similar findings were reported in United States, Spain, Australia [15, 16,17]. Due to the problems with introducing exotic species have encouraged to use of native species for controlling mosquitoes [18,19,20,21,22,23].

Use of larvivorous fishes in the field of mosquito control is well documented [4,18, 19,24,25,26,27,28,29,30, 31,32] .Keeping this in view, the present work was carried out to study the feeding potentiality of mosquito larvae by three indigenous larvivorous fish in Assam, India.

## MATERIALS AND METHOD

## Collection and acclimatization of fish

Three fish species viz. *Channa gachua, Puntius sophore* and *Trichogaster fasciata* were collected from a wetland near Dibrugarh University of Assam. Three size groups of *C. gachua* and two size groups were selected for other two fish species. The fishes were taken to the laboratory and kept in aquaria with 3l water separately. They were then acclimatized to the laboratory condition for 3 days and artificial feeds were supplied. For *C. gachua*, size groups were ranged between 6cm and 9.5cm; for *P. sophore*, it was 4.8-5.7cm and for *T. fasciata*, it was ranged from 4-7.1cm.

#### Phukon and Biswas

## **Collection of food**

Mosquito larvae for the experiment were collected by fine net from various waterbodies of Dibrugarh town and transported to the laboratory. They were maintained in 5l glasswares before using them in the experiment. Then IV instar larvae were selected for the experiment.

Known numbers of mosquito larvae along with selected fish species were introduced in three different aquaria. Experiments were conducted in both day and night with a time period of 12h and 24h respectively. In the nighttime experiment, all the size groups were kept in dark room. However, 1h time interval was also set at the daytime to observe the feeding intensity of selected species.

A non-parametric ANOVA was conducted for significant differences in the mean mosquito larvae consumed by each species.

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The consumption of mosquito larvae by different size groups at different time intervals was given in the Table 1.1, 1.2, 1.3 and 1.4. During 1h experiment, it was found that an individual *Channa gachua* (9.5cm) consumed a maximum number of mosquito larvae ( $179\pm21.21$ ) followed by other two size groups of the same species. Similarly, *P. sophore* (5.7cm) and *T. fasciata* (7.1cm) consumed a maximum of  $66.33\pm1.52$ /hr and  $45.67\pm0.58$  /hr respectively. Again, it was observed that all the fish species consumed maximum numbers of mosquito larvae at first 30 minutes and thereafter the feeding intensity was progressively slowed down (Table 1.1).

The feeding intensity of the studied fish species was significantly different (Table1.2).However, the quantum of feeding at 12h (day), 12h (night) and 24h duration indicated that *C. gachua* consumed highest numbers of mosquito larvae (Table 1.2,1.3 & 1.4 ) than the other two species. The feeding efficacy of fishes was found to increase on increasing size groups in all the three species studied. All groups of fish species were significantly different on feeding of mosquito larvae. Moreover, it was reported that the average consumption rate of guppy (*Poecilia reticulata*) was 41.0 per day per fish [33] and the larval consumption of *Aphanius chantrei* ranged between 29.62 (27mm) and 151.25 number fish/hr (47mm) [22]. In the present study, the consumption rates were comparatively higher than the mentioned experiments.

There are some reservations about biological control against malaria and they considered it to be more difficult to use than chemicals [34] and sometimes agents can be effective in controlling vectors at laboratory conditions, but they may fail in the field. In addition to that they may also be specific in terms of type of mosquito to be controlled and the type of habitat for their performance. It has been found that introduction of the exotic, voracious and aggressive *G. affinis* actually led to the elimination of native fishes very significantly [6]. *Channa gachua* is a voracious feeder and carnivorous in nature and therefore, it consumes large number of mosquito larvae if available in the surrounding. However, it attacks other fish species at night in the absence of mosquito larvae or preferred food in the environment. *P. sophore* and *T. fasciata* on the other hand, are omnivorous and they swift to algal and planktonic food if mosquito larvae are not around their vicinity. Moreover, carnivores like *Channa gachua* in particular consume all types of mosquito larvae. The intensive use of chemicals for controlling of mosquito larvae resulted resistant strains, decline in beneficial insect species, outbreak of secondary pests, contamination of the environment and food stuffs, and bioaccumulation of pesticide residues in non-target organisms, including human [35].

The use of chemicals in mosquito control appears to have many disadvantages. It is harmful to non target populations as well as the environment and it also causes resistant to mosquitoes which make their control to be more difficult in the future. There are a number of mosquito-borne diseases. The mosquito control process requires alternative simple and sustainable methods of control. Biological control has many advantages as compared to chemicals because it can be effective and safe to human and non-target populations, it has low cost of production and lower risk of resistance development [36]. The mosquito fish *G. affinis* seems to have some negative effects on local fish fauna and the environment but *C. gachua, P. sophore* and *T. fasciata* are excellent agents for use as biological control of mosquito larvae. Moreover, these three fish species well habitant in all waterbodies like wetlands, rivers, ditches etc. Again, *C. gachua* and *T. fasciata* can tolerate turbid water and therefore they can adjust themselves easily in unclean water. As *C. gachua* is carnivorous in food habit, mass production of the fish for restocking has some disadvantages such as of adult fish can attack other young fish. Unlike exotic species, these fish species do not cause any harm to other native fish. They breed naturally and this is a great advantage. Therefore, biological control of mosquito larvae with indigenous larvivorous fish can be applied in integrated pest management program which will be ecofriendly and economically viable too.

#### **Phukon and Biswas**

Sl. No.	Fish name	Size groups (cm)	No. of larvae consumed/fish	F value
1.a	Channa gachua C. gachua	9.5	179±21.21	8 330.26
1.b	C. gachua	8.1	107.6±2.83	
1.c		6	101.5±4.95	
2.a	Trichogaster fasciata	7.1	45.67±0.58	1800.00
2.b	T. fasciata	4	25.67±0.58	
3.a	Puntius sophore	5.7	66.33±1.52	3.27
3.b	P. sophore	4.8	64.33±1.15	

Table 1.1: Feeding efficacy of the fish during 1h experiment

Table 1.2: Feeding efficacy of the fish during 12h daytime experiment

Sl. No.	Fish name	Size groups (cm)	No. of larvae consumed/fish	F value
1.a	Channa gachua	9.5	210.67±9.45	2597.73
1.b	C. gachua	8.1	115±5	
1.c	C. gachua	6	69±5.29	
2.a	Trichogaster fasciata	7.1	101.67±1.53	1421.00
2.b	T. fasciata	4	67.83±0.29	
3.a	Puntius sophore	5.7	67±1	56.18
3.b	P.sophore	4.8	65.67±2.08	

Table 1.3: Feeding efficacy of the fish during 12h nighttime experiment

Sl. No.	Fish name	Size groups (cm)	No. of larvae consumed/fish	F value
1.a	Channa gachua	9.5	217.67±3.51	330.26
1.b	C. gachua	8.1	215.33±1.53	
1.c	C. gachua	6	81.67±3.51	
2.a	Trichogaster fasciata	7.1	113.5±0.5	18816.00
2.b	T. fasciata	4	57.5±0.5	
3.a	Puntius sophore	5.7	109.67±1.52	12.50
3.b	P. sophore	4.8	106.33±0.58	

Table 1 1. Easding	officact	of the fil	ah duning	21h or	novimont
Table 1.4: Feeding	enicacy	or the fig	sn auring	2411 ex	perimeni
10010 1.1.1.0000000	011100109	01 0110 110			p 01 1110110

Sl. No.	Fish name	Size groups (cm)	No. of larvae consumed/fish	F value
1.a	Channa gachua	9.5	259±3.61	3264.34
1.b	C. gachua C. gachua	8.1	149.67±1.53	
1.c	o. guenuu	6	72±3	
2.a	Trichogaster fasciata	7.1	247.67±2.52	3737.98
2.b	T. fasciata	4	95.5±3.5	
3.a	Puntius sophore	5.7	179.33±2.08	12.02
3.b	P. sophore	4.8	171±3.61	

#### REFERENCES

1. Pant C P, Rishikesh N, Bang YH, Smith A (1981) Progress in malaria vector control. W. H. O. Bull. 59, 325–333.

2. Haas R, Pal R (1984) Mosquito larvivorous fishes. *Bull. Entomol. Soc. Am.* 30 (1), 17–25.

- 3. Lichtenberg ER, Getz W (1985) Economics of rice-field mosquito control in California. *Bioscience* ;35 (5), 292–297.
- 4. Fletcher M, Teklehaimanot A, Yamane G (1992) Control of mosquito larvae in the port city of Assab by an indigenous larvivorous fish, *Aphanius dispar. Acta. Trop.*52:155–166.
- 5. Homski D, Goren M, Gasith A (1994) Comparative evaluation of the larvivorous fish *Gambusia affinis* and *Aphanius dispar* as mosquito control agents. *Hydrobiologia*; 284, 137–146.
- 6. WHO (1995) Vector control for malaria and other mosquito-borne diseases. World Health Organization, WHO Technical Report Series 857, Geneva, p. 97.
- 7. Walker K (2002) A review of Control Methods for African Malaria Vectors Environmental Health Project (EHP). Activity Report. April 2002, No. 108.
- 8. Collins LE, Blackwell A (2000) The biology of Toxorhynchites mosquitoes and their potential as biocontrol agents. Biocontrol News Information; 21: 105-16.
- 9. U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Services (1977) Mosquitoes of public health importance and their control. HHS Publication No. (CDC) 87-8396, p. 21.

#### **Phukon and Biswas**

- 10. Menon PKB, Rajagopalan PR (1978) Control of mosquito breeding in wells by using *Gambusia affinis* and *Aplocheilus blochii* in Pondicherry town. *Ind. J. Med. Res.* 68, 927–933.
- 11. Gupta D K, Bhatt RM, Sharma RC, Gautam AS, Kant R (1992) Intradomestic mosquito breeding sources and their management. *Indian J. Malariol.* 29, 41–46.
- 12. Kant R, Bhatt RM, Gupta DK, Sharma RC, Srivastava HC, Gautam AS (1993) Observations on mosquito breeding in wells and its control. *Indian J. Malariol.* 20, 215–220.
- 13. Benigno E (2001) Report of the convention on the conservation of European wildlife and natural habitats. Council of Europe; Strasbourg, France. Identification of non-native freshwater fishes established in Europe and assessment of their potential threats to the biological diversity.
- 14. Hoddle MS (2004) Restoring balance: using exotic species to control invasive exotic species. *Conserv. Biol.* 18:38–49.
- 15. Economidis PS (1995) Endangered fresh-water fishes of Greece. Biol. Conserv. 72:201–211.
- 16. Arthington AH (1991) Ecological and genetic impacts of introduced and translocated freshwater fishes in Australia. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 48:33-43.
- 17. Garcia-Berthou E (1999) Food of introduced mosquito fish: ontogenetic diet shift and prey selection. J. Fish Biol.55:135-147.
- 18. Leyse K E, Lawler SP, Strange T (2004) Effects of an alien fish, *Gambusia affinis*, on an endemic California fairy shrimp, *Linderiella occidentalis*: implications for conservation of diversity in fishless waters. *Biol. Conserv*.118:57–65.
- 19. Fletcher M, Teklehaimanot A, Yamane G, Kassahun A, Kidane G, and Beyene, Y (1993) Prospects for the use of larvivorous fish for malaria control in Ethiopia: search for indigenous species and evaluation of their feeding capacity for mosquito larvae. *J. Trop. Med. Hyg.* 96:12–21.
- 20. Frenkel V and Goren M (1997) Some environmental factors affecting the reproduction of *Aphanius dispar* (Ruppell. 1828). *Hydrobiologia*; 347, 197–207.
- 21. Kusumawathie PHD, Wickremasinghe AR, Karunaweera ND, Wijeyaratne MJS (2006) Larvivorous potential of fish species found in river bed pools below the major dams in Sri Lanka. *J. Med. Entomol.*43:79–82.
- 22. Marti GA, Azpelicueta MDM, Tranchida MC, Pelizza SA, Garcia JJ (2006) Predation efficiency of indigenous larvivorous fish species on *Culex pipiens* L. larvae (Diptera: Culicidae) in drainage ditches in Argentina. *J. Vector Ecol.* 31:102–106.
- 23. Yildirim O, Karacuha A (2007) A preliminary study on determination of *Aphanius chantrei*'s feeding behaviour on mosquito larvae. *Acta Trop.* 102:172–175.
- 24. Lee, D.K. (2000) Predation efficacy of the fish muddy loach, *Misgurnus mizolepis*, against and mosquitoes in laboratory and small rice plots. *J.Am.Mosq.Cont.Assoc*.16(3): 258–261.
- 25. Hoy JB, O'Berg A G, Kauffman E E (1971) The mosquito fish as a biological control agent against *Culex tarsalis* and *Anopheles freeborni* in Sacramento Valley rice fields. Mosquito News; 32, 146–152.
- 26. Chapman HC (1974) Biological control of mosquito larvae. Ann. Rev. ENT. 19, 33-59.
- 27. Menon PKB, Rajagopalan PR (1977) Mosquito Control Potential of some species of indigenous fishes in Pondicherry. *Ind. J. Med. Res.* 66, 765–767.
- 28. Blaustein L (1992) Larvivorous fishes fail to control mosquitoes in experimental rice plots. Hydrobiologia; 232, 219–232.
- 29. Morgan LA, Buttemer WA (1996) Predation by the non-native fish *Gambusia holbrooki* on small *Litoria aurea* and *L. dentata* tadpoles. *Aust. Zool.* 30 (2), 143–149.
- 30. Frenkel V, Goren M (2000) Factors affecting growth of killifish, *Aphanius dispar*, a potential biological control of mosquitoes. *Aquaculture*; 184, 255–265.
- 31. Mohamed AA (2003) Study of larvivorous fish for malaria vector control in Somalia 2002. *Eastern Mediterranean Health J.* 9 (4), 618–626.
- 32. Ghosh A, Mandal S, Bhattacharjee I, Chandra G (2005) Biological control of vector mosquitoes by some common exotic fish predators. *Turk. J. Biol.* 29, 167–171
- 33. Elias M, Islam MS, Kabir MH and Rahman MK (1995) Biological control of mosquito larvae by Guppy fish. *Bangladesh Medical Res. Council Bulletin*; 21: 2, 81-86.
- 34. Das PK, Amalraj DD (1997) Biological control of malaria vectors. Indian J. Med. Res. 106:174-197.
- 35. Novak RJ, Lampman RL (2001) Public Health Pesticides: Principles. *In* Handbook of Pesticide Toxicology. Volume 1. 3rd edition. Edited by: Krieger R. New York, Acad. Press: pp. 181-201.
- 36. Yap HH (1985) Biological control of mosquitoes, especially malaria vectors, *Anopheles* species. *Southeast Asian J. Trop. Med. Pub. Health* 16:163–172.

## **Citation of This Article**

H.K. Phukon and S. P. Biswas. An Investigation on Larvicidal Efficacy of some Indigenous Fish Species of Assam, India. Adv. Biores., Vol4 (3) September 2013: 22-25.