# **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

# Effect of Mechanical and Chemical Weed Control Measures On Yield Attributes, Quality, Weed Study And Economics Of Sesame (Sesamum indicum L.)

Shehal Mane, \*H.M.Patil And U. V. Mahadkar Department of Agronomy, College of Agriculture Dr. Balasaheb Sawant Konkan Krishi Vidyapeeth, Dapoli- 415 712, Maharashtra Corresponding address :Email: hemant\_patil2006@rediffmail.com

### ABSTRACT

The present investigation entitled "Effect of mechanical and chemical weed control measures on growth, yield and quality of sesame" (Sesamum indicum L.) was conducted at Agronomy farm, College of Agriculture, Dapoli, Dist. Ratnagiri (M.S.) during Rabi season of 2014-15. The trial was laid out in a randomized block design. There were ten treatments which were replicated thrice. The treatments mainly comprised of Pendimethalin PE  $(T_1)$ , Pendimethalin PE with HW at 15 DAS (T<sub>2</sub>), Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30 DAS (T<sub>3</sub>), Pendimethalin PE with HW at 45 DAS (T<sub>4</sub>), Quizalofop-ethyl PoE (T5), Quizalofop-ethyl PoE with HW at 45 (T6), HW at 30 DAS (T7), HW with hoeing at 45 DAS (T8), HW at 15, 30 and 45 DAS ( $T_9$ ) and Weedy check ( $T_{10}$ ). The sowing was done in the experimental plot on 10<sup>th</sup> November, 2014 by dibbling method at a distance of 30 cm in between the rows and plant to plant 15 cm. The other common package of practices was followed at time to time and periodical growth observations were recorded. Results revealed that, the treatments viz., HW at 15, 30 and 45 DAS (weed free), Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30DAS and Quizalofopethyl PoE with HW at 45DAS produced higher growth, yield attributes, grain and straw yield (q ha-1) as compared to rest of the treatments under study. Nutrient uptake by weeds was significantly less under HW at 15, 30 and 45DAS, Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30DAS, Quizalofop-ethyl PoE with HW at 45DAS while, maximum in weedy check. The nutrient uptake by crop was significantly found to be more under treatment HW at 15, 30 and 45DAS, Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30DAS, Quizalofop-ethyl PoE with HW at 45DAS. This particular combination i.e. Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30DAS showed great promise in respect of enhancing highest net return (Rs.20,467) and B: C ratio (1.54). On the basis of results obtained during study, it can be concluded that the herbicides with one manual weeding gives better results as compared to use of herbicides alone. The treatment Pendimethalin PE with HW at 30DAS was found to be most effective and economical treatment in respect of growing sesame crop.

Received 19/02/2017

## Revised 20/04/2017

Accepted 30/06/2017

## How to cite this article:

Shehal Mane, H.M.Patil And U. V. Mahadkar. Effect Of Mechanical And Chemical Weed Control Measures On Yield Attributes, Quality, Weed Study And Economics Of Sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.). Adv. Biores., Vol 8 [4] July 2017: 168-172.

## INTRODUCTION

Sesame (*Sesamum indicum L.*) is an important oilseed crop in India. About 45 percent of the area lies in the India. Area under sesame crop in India is 17.03 lakh ha and total production is 7.5 lakh tone. India is world's largest producer of the sesame accounting 35 percent of the total production, but its average productivity is extremely low (274 kg/ha) [1, 2]. Moreover, seeds are rich source of edible oil (48-55%) and protein (20-28%) consisting both methionine and tryptophan, vitamins (niacine) and minerals (Ca and P). Since sesame seed oil is cholesterol free, it is also used in health food industries. Seeds are used as fried and mixed with sugar and in several forms in sweet meats. Sesame is grown in world on 6.3 million hectares. Inadequate weed management appears to be one of the major constraints for such low productivity of sesame. The period from 15 to 30 days after sowing is the most critical period of crop weed competition in the sesame. Hand weeding is commonly practiced by the farmer but weeding is not

### Mane et al

possible due to scarcity of the farm labour and cost of weeding operation. Chemical weed control is easier, time saving, and economical compared to hand weeding.

# MATERIAL AND METHODS

The present investigation was conducted at Agronomy Farm, Dr. B. S. K.K.V., Dapoli Dist. Ratnagiri (M.S.) during *rabi* 2014. The soil of experimental plot was sandy clay loam in texture and slightly acidic in reaction with medium in organic carbon. It was medium in available nitrogen and low in available phosphorus and moderately high in available potassium. The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications. The gross plot size was 4.2 x 3.6 m<sup>2</sup> and net plot sizes were varying according to the spacing such as 3.90 x 3.00 m<sup>2</sup>. The seed of JLT-408 was used for sowing. It matures at 80-85 days and yields about 7-8 quintals per hectare. The variety is moderately resistant to phyllody. Sowing was done by opening small furrows of about 3 cm depth with the help of marker at a distance of 30cm between the lines and 15 cm between plants. The seeame seed was sown about 3 cm deep manually at the rate of 3 kg per hectare and covered with soil. The recommended full dose of fertilizers was applied to each plot at the time of sowing. Herbicides were applied as per the treatments. Pendimethalin was applied as pre-emergence (2-3 DAS) and Quizalofop-ethly was applied as post emergence (20 DAS).

# Treatment details:

Symbol	Troatmonts	Dose	Time of Application	
	lleatments	(Kg a.i. ha <sup>.</sup> 1)		
T <sub>1</sub>	Pendimethalin (PE)	0.75	2-3 DAS	
T <sub>2</sub>	Pendimethalin (PE) +One hand weeding at 15 DAS	0.75	2-3 DAS	
T <sub>3</sub>	Pendimethalin (PE) + One hand weeding at 30DAS	0.75	2-3 DAS	
T <sub>4</sub>	Pendimethalin (PE) + One hand weeding at 45 DAS	0.75	2-3 DAS	
T <sub>5</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl (POE)	0.05	20 DAS	
T <sub>6</sub>	Quizalofop-ethyl (POE) + One HW at 45 DAS	0.05	20DAS	
T <sub>7</sub>	Hand weeding	-	30DAS	
T <sub>8</sub>	Hand weeding +Hoeing	-	45 DAS	
T9	Weed free check	-	HW at 15,30 and 45 DAS	
T <sub>10</sub>	Weedy check	-	-	

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Among different weed control treatments the weed count recorded periodically from the different treatments that, the grassy and broad leaf weeds were significantly less under treatment  $T_9$  at 20, 40, 60, 80 and harvest followed by treatment  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  and which at par with each other and significantly better than the other treatments except  $T_9$  at 80 DAS and at harvest. Weed free check (HW at 15, 30 and 45 DAS) was found to be significantly effective or reducing the weed density and dry weight of weeds, which had shown the effect on different growth parameters in terms of the height of the plant, number of leaves, number of branches and dry matter accumulation per plant by the plant as well as yield and yield attributing characters of crop followed by the pre-emergence application of herbicide with hand weeding. Which proved significantly better by controlling the grassy and BLWs in early stage of crop growth while later emerged weeds were controlled by hand weeding as compared to sole application of the herbicides. Similar findings were reported by Bhadauria *et al.*[3]. Similarly, Bhadauria *et al.*[4] and Sheoran *et al.*[5] reported same result. Treatment  $T_{10}$  recorded significantly higher population of grassy and broad leaf weeds than the other weed control treatments. This was due to unrestricted weed growth.

# Effect of different weed control measures: Grassy weed count (0.25 m<sup>2</sup>) in Sesame:

At 20, 40, 60, 80 DAS and at harvest treatment  $T_9$  recorded significantly lowest weed population of grassy weeds which was found to be superior over rest of the other treatments and at harvest  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  were statistically at par with each and significantly superior over other treatments except  $T_9$ . Further, at 20, 40 DAS, treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  were at par with each other. At 60, 80 DAS and at harvest treatment  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  were found to be at par with each other.

### Mane *et al*

Treatment	No of	No of	Wt of cansule in	Oil content	Yield (q ha-1)	
	capsule per plant	seeds per capsule	(gm)	in seed (%)	Grain	Straw
T1:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha	39.07	42.40	7.15	50.20	3.28	6.58
T2:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i./ha + one HW at 15 DAS	43.47	45	10.56	50.23	3.66	7.37
T3:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 30 DAS	45.60	48.50	13.11	50.91	5.32	12.47
T4:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 45 DAS	42.27	43.73	10.20	50.19	3.53	7.16
T5:Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha	34	40.97	7.03	50.17	3.22	6.46
T <sub>6</sub> :Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha + one HW at 45 DAS	45.33	46.50	13.60	50.90	5.29	12.39
T <sub>7</sub> : HW at 30 DAS	44.20	46.23	11.55	50.86	4.57	11.19
T <sub>8</sub> : HW and Hoeing at 45 DAS	43.33	44.77	11.13	50.82	4.20	10.02
T <sub>9</sub> : weed free check	47.20	49.83	16.37	51.10	7.33	17.07
T <sub>10</sub> : Weedy check	20.13	34.40	4.49	50.16	2.49	4.25
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.45	0.40	0.17	0.03	0.10	0.12
CD at 5%	1.24	1.11	0.48	0.09	0.24	0.32

# Table 1. Effect of different weed control treatments on yield attributes and qualityof sesame at harvest

# Table 2. Effect of different weed control treatments on weed study, Weed index, and WCE

	Grassy	Broad leaved	Dry matter	Oil	Weed Study	
Treatment	weed count (0.25 m <sup>-2</sup> )	weed count (0.25 m <sup>-2</sup> )	accumulatio n by weed (q ha <sup>-1</sup> )	content in seed (%)	Weed Index	WCE (%)
T1:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg	6.33	13.00	4.65	50.20	55.25	61.99
T <sub>2</sub> :Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i./ha + one HW at 15 DAS	7.33 (2.80)	11.6 (2.10)	4.38	50.23	50.06	64.21
T <sub>3</sub> :Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 30 DAS	5.33 (2.41)	9.33 (2.01)	3.28	50.91	27.42	73.16
T <sub>4</sub> :Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 45 DAS	7.00 (2.73)	12.33 (2.12)	5.02	50.19	51.84	58.99
T5:Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha	7.33 (2.79)	18.67 (2.24)	6.39	50.17	56.07	47.79
T <sub>6</sub> :Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha + one HW at 45 DAS	5.00 (2.34)	10.33 (2.04)	3.43	50.90	27.83	71.98
T <sub>7</sub> : HW at 30 DAS	6.00 (2.54)	11.33 (2.09)	4.16	50.86	37.65	66.01
$T_8$ : HW and Hoeing at 45 DAS	6.67 (2.68)	14.33 (2.18)	5.25	50.82	42.70	57.13
T9: weed free check	4.33 (2.20)	6.33 (1.86)	2.10	51.10		81.32
T <sub>10</sub> : Weedy check	14.67 (3.89)	34.00 (2.61)	12.25	50.16		
S.E. <u>+</u>	0.10	0.04	0.40	0.03		
CD at 5%	0.27	0.12	1.10	0.09		

(%) of sesame at harvest.

Treatment	Total cost (Kha-1)	Gross income (∛ ha∙1)	Net income (ℤha·1)	B:C Ratio
T1:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha	34671	35406	735	1.02
$T_2$ :Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i./ha + one HW at 15 DAS	37371	39535	2164	1.06
T <sub>3</sub> :Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 30 DAS	37371	57730	20359	1.54
T4:Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 45 DAS	37371	38139	768	1.02
T <sub>5</sub> :Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha	34753	34779	26	1.00
T <sub>6</sub> :Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha + one HW at 45 DAS	37633	57403	19770	1.52
T7: HW at 30 DAS	36500	49663	13163	1.36
T <sub>8</sub> : HW and Hoeing at 45 DAS	37140	45603	8463	1.22
T <sub>9</sub> : weed free check	42140	76965	34825	1.82
T <sub>10</sub> : Weedy check	32060	26782	-5277	0.83
S.E. <u>+</u>	34671	35406	735	1.02
CD at 5%	37371	39535	2164	1.06

# Table 3. Effect of different weed control treatments on economics of sesame at harvest

Mane et al

# Broad leaved weed count (0.25 $m^{\cdot 2}$ ) and Square root transformation:

Data reveals that, all the weed control treatments were significantly superior over treatment  $T_{10}$  in controlling broad leaved weeds during all the stages of observation. At 20 DAS treatment  $T_9$  recorded lowest weed population, but it was at par with treatment  $T_2$ . At 40, 60 and 80 DAS, treatment  $T_9$  recorded lowest number of broad leaved weeds, which was significantly superior than the other treatments. Treatment  $T_7$ ,  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  were found to be at par with each other at 40 DAS and at 60 DAS,  $T_3$ ,  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  were at par with each other. At 80 DAS and at harvest, treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$  and  $T_2$  were at par with each other treatments other while, treatment  $T_9$  was significantly superior to other treatments. Treatment  $T_{10}$  recorded highest number of weeds over other treatments.

# Dry weight of grasses and broad leaved weeds (g 0.25 m<sup>2</sup>) :

Data pertaining to the mean dry weight of grasses, sedges and broad leaved weeds in sesame as affected by various treatments. All weed control measures recorded significantly less dry weight of weeds than treatment  $T_{10}$ . At 40 and 80 DAS, treatment  $T_9$  recorded lowest dry weight of weed, and which is significantly superior than the other treatments. Treatments  $T_4$  and  $T_6$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_6$  were found to be at par with each other at 40 DAS, however, at 80 DAS treatment  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  found to be at par with each other. Treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_7$  and  $T_4$  were found to be at par with each other at 80 DAS. At harvest, treatment  $T_9$ recorded lowest dry weight of weeds, which was found to be significantly superior than the other treatments. Treatments  $T_3$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$  and  $T_2$  were found to be at par with each other.

**Weed Index (%) :** The data revealed that, Weed free check produced significantly higher grain and straw yield of sesame over all treatments including weedy check. However, among the different treatment combinations tried to find best treatment to bring effective control of weeds with increasing grain and straw yield, it was seen that the treatment  $T_3$  (Pendimethalin (PE) @ 0.75 kg a.i/ha+ one HW at 30 DAS) recorded least weed index (27.42%) followed by treatment  $T_6$  (Quizalfop-ethyl (POE) 0.05 kg ai/ha with one HW at 45 DAS) (27.83%).

# Effect on weed growth and weed control efficiency (%) :

Among the different weed control treatments, the treatment  $T_9$  significantly recorded minimum total dry weight of weeds at 40, 80 DAS and at harvest and recorded maximum weed control efficiency. At harvest treatment  $T_3$ ,  $T_6$  and  $T_7$  found to be at par with each other. Weed free treatment significantly effective by reducing weed growth and dry weight of weeds at 40, 80 DAS and at harvest and recorded maximum weed control efficiency at harvest. Similar finding were observed by Bhadauria *et al.* [4], while treatment  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  were found to be at par with each other at harvest, recorded minimum weed growth and maximum weed control efficiency. This is due to control of weeds by the herbicide and removal of grasses

#### Mane *et al*

and broad leaf weeds by one hand weeding at 30 DAS. Similar result were reported by Bhadauria *et al.*[4] and Sheoran *et al.* [5].

## Quality studies in Sesame: Oil content in seed:

In respect of quality aspects oil contain in seeds significantly influenced due to different weed control treatments. The oil contain in seeds increased significantly under treatment  $T_9$  followed by treatment  $T_3$  and  $T_6$  found to be at par with each other. Treatment  $T_9$  recorded highest oil contain in seeds this may be owing to least weed competition and thereby highest nutrient uptake which increase the oil contain in seeds. Treatment  $T_{10}$  recorded significantly lowest oil contain seeds. Similar type of results were also reported by and Sheoran *et al.*[5].

## **Economics of the treatments:**

Economics of the different weed control measures indicated that net profit as well as B: C ratio was higher under treatment  $T_9$  as compared to other treatments. It was followed by treatment  $T_3$  and  $T_6$ . Bhadauria *et al.* [3] reported that, different weed management treatments increases the net profit, highest net profit recorded in weed free treatment followed by post emergence application of herbicide with one HW at 30 DAS and lowest net profit recorded in weedy check plot due to poor performance of the sesame crop because of excessive weed competition. While, similar result recorded by Bhadauria *et al.*[3] and Sheoran *et al.*[5].

## REFERENCES

- 1. Anonymous. (2012). Sesame seed output may decline 25%. <u>http://www.business-</u>standard.com/article/markets/sesame.
- 2. Anonymous (2013). State of Indian Agriculture 2012-13, Government of India, Ministry of Agriculture. Pg no.199-202.
- 3. Bhadauria Nisha, Asha Arora and K.S. Yadav (2012) Effect of weed management practices on seed yield and nutrient uptake in sesame. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*; **44** (2): 129-131.
- 4. Bhadauria Nisha,; Yadav, K. S.; Rajput, R. L. and Singh, V. B. (2012).Integrated Weed Management in Sesame. *Indian Journal of Weed Science*; **44** (4): 235-237.
- 5. Sheoran Parvender,; Sardana Virender,; Singh Sher.; Sharma Pushp and Atwal, A. K.(2012). Evaluation of preemergence herbicides for weed management in sesame (*Sesamum indicum* L.)under semi-arid subtropical conditions. *Journal Oilseeds Research.*,**29** (1): 53-57.

**Copyright:** © **2017 Society of Education**. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.