International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology

Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 11 [4] December 2020 : 55-60 © 2020 Society of Education, India [ISO9001: 2008 Certified Organization] www.soeagra.com/iaast.html



DOI: .10.15515/iaast.0976-4828.11.4.5560

# Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata)

R.K.Jaiswal\*, S.A.Ali, Jayashri Niwariya, Swati Psumae

Department of Horticulture

College of Agriculture, Sehore RVSKVV, Gwalior 466001 (MP), India. Corresponding author's email: rkjaiswal395@yahoo.co.in.

# ABSTRACT

An investigation was carried out during rabi season of 2017-18 at Horticulture farm, College of Agriculture, Sehore RVSKVV, Gwalior to study experiment "Effect of organic manures and bio fertilizers" on growth and yield of cabbage (Brassica oleracea L. var. capitata)". Experiment was carried out in randomized block design with three replications The experiment was comprised of ten treatments viz.,  $T_1:V_1$  (Green galaxy) + FYM @20t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha,  $T_2: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Vermicompost @5t/ha+Azotobacter @2.5kg/ha,  $T_3: V_1$  (Green galaxy) - Poultry Manure @5t/ha + Azotobacter @2.5kg/ha,  $T_4: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Pig Manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter @ 2.5kg/ha,  $T_5: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer (NPK@ 100:60:80 kg/ha respectively), T<sub>6</sub>: V<sub>2</sub> (Green ball) + FYM @20t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha, T7:V2 (Green ball) + Vermicompost@5t/ha + Azotobacter @2.5kg/ha, T<sub>8</sub>: V<sub>2</sub> (Green ball) + Poultry Manure@5t/ha +Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha, T<sub>9</sub>:V<sub>2</sub> (Green ball) + Pig manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha,  $T_{10}$ :  $V_2$  (Green ball) + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer (NPK). The maximum plant height, stalk length, maximum number of non-wrapper leaf per plant, plant spread, maximum head size, head diameter, gross head weight, head compactness, head yield per plot, head yield per hectare, maximum self life (11.54 days), highest benefit cost ratio (1:1.41) and maximum net return (229244 Rs./ha) under the treatment  $T_8$  (Green ball + Poultry Manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha)closely followed by T9 (10.22 days) for all the characters. Whereas minimum growth and yield parameters was recorded under the treatment  $T_5$  (Green galaxy + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer).

Key Words: Cabbage, organic manure, poultry manure (PM), vermicompost (VC), Azotobacter

Received 04.07.2019

Revised 26.07.2019

Accepted 21.08.2019

# CITATION OF THIS ARTICLE

R.K.Jaiswal, S.A.Ali, Jayashri Niwariya, Swati Psumae Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. c*apitata*). Int. Arch. App. Sci. Technol; Vol 11 [4] December 2020: 55-60

# INTRODUCTION

Cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae* L. Var *capitata*) is a cole crop and belongs to the family Cruciferae or Brassicaceae having chromosome number 2n=2x=18. Cabbage is a cool season crop but adapted to a wide range of climates and soils but best results are obtained in a cool environment with a monthly temperature of 13°C to 16°C and where soil is well supplied with nutrients and irrigation water. In India the productivity is low as compared to other countries Therefore there is a need to increase productivity [3-5]. There are many factors involve in overall growth of cabbage viz., nutrient management, irrigation, plant protection measures, varieties etc [30, 20-22]. Among these factors nutrient management is an important factor to increase productivity of cabbage. Since long term use of inorganic fertilizer reduces soil fertility therefore there is a need to maintain soil fertility through



**REVIEW ARTICLE** 

organically. Growing of crops by the combined package of organic manures and bio fertilizers brings forth the Organic farming which is in vogue today.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

The investigation was carried out at research field, department of Horticulture, College of Agriculture, Sehore campus of RVSKVV, Gwalior during *rabi* season 2016-17. The experiment was comprised of ten treatments viz.,  $T_1:V_1$  (Green galaxy) + FYM @20t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_2: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Vermicompost @5t/ha+*Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_4: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Pig Manure@5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_5: V_1$  (Green galaxy) + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer (NPK),  $T_6: V_2$  (Green ball) + FYM @20t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_8: V_2$  (Green ball) + Poultry Manure@5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_9:V_2$  (Green ball) + Pig manure@5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_7:V_2$  (Green ball) + Vermicompost @5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_8: V_2$  (Green ball) + Poultry Manure@5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_9:V_2$  (Green ball) + Pig manure@5t/ha + *Azotobacter*@2.5kg/ha,  $T_{10}: V_2$  (Green ball) + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer (NPK).

Experiment was laid out in Randomized Completely Block Design with three replications.Full dose of phosphorus, potash and  $\frac{1}{2}$  dose of nitrogen were applied respectively according to treatments.Full quantity of vermicompost, phosphorus and potash along with one third of nitrogen was applied as par treatment plot before transplanting the seedling. While, the rest of the nitrogen was applied in two equal splits doses at 25 and 50 days after transplanting.Well decomposed vermicompost was incorporated in soil thoroughly as per treatment as basal dose. Transplanting of healthy seedlings was done with spacing of 60 cm  $\times$  45 cm. All cultural operations were done as per recommendations. Observations were recorded from five random healthy plants of each treatment on growth, yield and its attributes. The experimental data recorded were subjected to statistical analysis using analysis of variance technique suggested by Panse and Sukhtame [18].

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Growth parameters:

The data (Table 1 and Table 2)for Growth parameters viz., plant height, stalk length, number of non wrappers leaves per plant recorded at 20, 40, 60 and 80 DAT. Treatment T<sub>8</sub>produced significantly the highest plant height at (16.40cm to39.46 cm), stalk length per plant (3.00 cm to 9.03 cm), number of non-wrapper leaf per plant (10.03 to 16.60 per plant), plant spread (26.60 cm <sup>2</sup> to 75.53 cm<sup>2</sup>). The treatment T<sub>5</sub> attained lowest plant height at 20 DAT (13.60cm) while The treatment T<sub>7</sub> attained lowest plant height at 40, 60 and 80 DAT (21.96, 32.73, 34.83 cm) where, lowest stalk length (2.33 cm to 7.86 cm), whereas at 20 DAT treatment T<sub>1</sub> produced lowest non-wrapper leaf per plant (10.80, 14.73, 14.86), at 20 DAT treatment T<sub>6</sub> have lowest plant spread, at 40 DAT treatment T<sub>10</sub> have plant spread(39.36cm<sup>2</sup>).)at 60 and 80 DAT treatment T<sub>5</sub> have lowest plant spread (63.70 to 68.50 cm<sup>2</sup>). Similar results were reported by Bahadur *et al.* [6], Anant *et al.* [3], Goswami *et al.* [11], Raghav and Shashi [19] for plant height, stalk length and plant spread. Singh *et al.* [28] and Upadhyay *et al.* [29] reported similar findings for number of non wrappers leaves per plant.

**Yield parameters**: The Data (Table 3 and Table 4) related to Yield attributing characters viz, head diameter, head size, net head weight, gross head, head compactness, head yield per plot, head yield per hectare, varied significantly due to treatments. Treatment  $T_8$ [combined application of Green ball + Poultry Manure (5t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha)] produced maximum head diameter (23.23 cm), head size (17.93 cm), Similar results were reported by Singh and Singh [27], Chatterjee *et al.* [7], Choudhary *et al.* [9]. Maximum net head weight (1,052 g), gross head weight (2,097 g) head compactness (43.57). These findings are in agreement with findings of Singh and Singh [26], Chatterjee *et al.* [8], Chaudhary *et al.* [9].Treatment  $T_8$ [combined application of Green ball + Poultry Manure (5t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha)] producemaximum head yield per plot (33.49 kg per plot).These findings are in agreement with the findings of by Chatterjee *et al.* [7], Singh and Singh [25], Hasan and Solaiman [12], Chatterje *et al.* [7], Acharya *et al.* [1], Sajib *et al.* [12], Alam *et al.*, [2], Devi *et al.*, [10], Kumar *et al.*, [13], Chaudhary *et al.* [9],

Treatment T<sub>8</sub>[combined application of Green ball + Poultry Manure (5t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha)] produce maximum head yield per hectare (404.70 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). These findings are in agreement with the findings of by Singh and Singh [24], Chatterjee *et al.* [9], Hasan and Solaiman [12], Chatterje *et al.* [9], Acharya *et al.* [2], Sajib *et al.* [22], Alam *et al.*, [2], Devi *et al.*, (2017), Kumar *et al.*, [14], Chaudhary *et al.* [9], Pandey *et al.*, [16], Pandey *et al.*, [17].

Treatment T<sub>5</sub> produced minimum head diameter (15.80 cm), head size(13.53 cm), head weight (639 g), less compact of head (33.62) lowest yield per plot (17.66 kg per plot), lowest head yield per hectare (217.82 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

# Quality parameters

The self life was also influenced significantly due to applied treatments. Amongst the treatments,  $T_8$  (Green ball + Poultry Manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha) recorded maximum self life (11.54 days), closely followed by  $T_9$  (10.22 days). The minimum shelf life (7.44 days) was noted from the treatment  $T_5$  (Green galaxy + Recommended doses of manure and fertilizer). These finding related to shelf life are in agreement with the finding of Kumar and Khare (2015), and Chaudhary *et al.* (2018) in cabbage. The colour of head was dark green in treatments likes  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$ ,  $T_4$ ,  $T_6$ ,  $T_7$ ,  $T_8$ , The head colour is light green in treatments  $T_5$  and  $T_{10}$ . The colour of head was dark green in combine application of organic manures and bio-fertilizers in all recommended treatment whereas,the application of inorganic fertilizers the head colour is light green.(Table 5)

#### Economics

It is evident from the data (Table 6) that a significantly maximum marketable head yield of cabbage 404.7 q /ha was recorded in T8 (V2 (Green ball) - Poultry Manure (5t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha)) along with net return of Rs 229244 kg / plot and cost benefit ratio 1:1.41 followed by T9 (V2 (Green ball) - Pig Manure (5t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg /ha)) (344.4 q / ha, Rs. 196598 q/ha and 1: 1.40 head yield, net return and cost benefit ratio, respectively). While, minimum cost benefit ratio 1: 28 was obtained in the T1 (V1 (Green galaxy) - FYM (20t/ha) + Azotobacter (2.5kg/ha)) due to head yield 229.59 q/ha and net return Rs 178465 q/ha is lower as compared to other treatments.

Treatment	Plant height (DAT)			Stalk length (DAT)				
	20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT	80 DAT	20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT	80 DAT
T1	16.0000	23.10	32.66	37.70	2.46	5.43	7.56	8.46
T2	15.30	22.33	34.23	37.66	2.53	5.53	7.46	8.50
Т3	15.53	22.93	33.60	35.80	2.53	5.53	7.20	8.40
T4	16.13	24.40	33.36	37.13	2.53	5.20	7.46	8.26
Т5	13.73	20.46	31.93	34.83	2.33	5.16	6.93	7.86
T6	14.30	22.06	31.96	38.93	2.86	5.86	7.80	8.76
T7	13.60	21.96	32.73	38.13	2.80	5.66	7.73	8.60
Т8	16.40	23.30	33.76	39.46	3.00	6.00	7.83	9.03
Т9	14.83	22.66	33.66	39.16	2.46	5.40	7.20	8.40
T100	15.67	22.13	33.56	36.46	2.53	5.46	7.23	8.43
S.Em±	0.758	0.69	0.802	0.553	0.18	0.20	0.23	0.19
CD (P=0.05)	2.28	2.075	2.401	1.657	0.53	0.62	0.70	0.58

 

 Table 1: Growth and growth attributes of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and bio-fertilizers

Treatment	No. of non wrapper leaf/plant (DAT)			Plant spread (cm²) (DAT)				
	20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT	80 DAT	20 DAT	40 DAT	60 DAT	80 DAT
T1	8.70	12.43	15.13	15.36	21.86	44.96	64.86	71.83
T2	8.73	11.53	15.43	15.60	24.20	46.36	62.70	71.66
Т3	9.16	11.83	14.90	14.93	24.33	43.10	63.93	71.33
T4	9.23	12.66	15.96	15.76	23.73	42.83	67.70	74.10
Т5	8.50	10.80	14.73	14.86	23.60	41.86	61.83	68.50
Т6	9.36	11.90	15.86	15.23	21.20	42.96	63.70	68.70
Т7	9.40	12.33	15.26	16.00	23.76	47.10	64.10	69.86
T8	10.03	13.06	16.43	16.60	26.60	50.03	67.43	75.53
Т9	9.70	12.63	16.23	16.36	24.90	47.33	65.27	74.36
T10	9.16	12.36	15.83	15.93	21.33	39.36	63.46	70.16
S.Em±	0.44	0.48	0.59	0.59	1.17	2.63	1.19	2.04
CD (P=0.05)	1.31	1.43	1.75	1.76	3.49	7.89	3.57	6.12

Table 2: Growth and growth attributes of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and
bio-fertilizers

 Table 3: Yield and yield attributes of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and bio-fertilizers

Treatment	ead diameter (cm)	Head size	Net head weight (g)	Gross head			
		(cm)		weight (g)			
Varieties							
T1	15.96	14.03	647	1525			
T2	16.30	14.53	706	1666			
T3	16.76	14.70	755	1870			
T4	17.06	15.50	814	1744			
T5	15.80	13.53	639	1372			
T6	18.90	15.36	865	1659			
T7	17.83	15.43	867	1750			
T8	23.23	17.93	1052	2097			
T9	19.20	15.76	949	1907			
T10	17.23	14.13	848	1621			
S.Em±	0.84	0.42	27.12	13.07			
CD (P=0.05)	2.50	1.25	81.22	39.14			

# Table 4: Yield and yield attributes of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and bio-fertilizers

bio-iei cilizeis						
Treatment	Head	Head yield	Head yield			
	compactness	plot <sup>-1</sup> (kg)	ha-1(q)			
Varieties						
T1	37.67	18.68	229.59			
T2	37.77	21.64	267.78			
Т3	39.09	24.62	302.64			
T4	38.81	22.41	275.66			
Т5	33.62	17.66	217.82			
Тб	36.09	25.45	317.50			
Τ7	35.75	25.86	321.97			
T8	43.57	33.49	404.70			
Т9	39.27	27.40	344.44			
T10	33.75	24.83	307.30			
S.Em±	1.42	0.25	5.66			
CD (P=0.05)	4.27	0.75	16.97			

leitilizeis						
Treatment	Self life (days)	Head colour				
Varieties						
T1	8.24	Dark green				
T2	8.73	Dark green				
ТЗ	8.81	Dark green				
T4	8.40	Dark green				
Т5	7.44	Light green				
Тб	9.47	Dark green				
Τ7	9.31	Dark green				
Т8	11.54	Dark green				
Т9	10.22	Dark green				
T10	9.74	Light Green				
S.Em±	0.18					
CD (P=0.05)	0.54					

#### Table 5: Quality attributes of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and biofertilizers

Table 6 : Economics of cabbage as influenced by organic manures and bio-fertilizers

		Gross income	Expenditure	Net return	
Treatments	Yield (q/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	(Rs/ha)	B:C ratio
T1	229.59	229590	51125	178465	1:1.28
T2	267.78	214224	56841	157383	1:1.36
Т3	302.64	242112	58546	183566	1:1.31
T4	275.66	220528	61245	159283	1:1.38
T5	217.82	174256	48956	125300	1:1.39
T6	317.5	254000	60253	193747	1:1.31
<b>T7</b>	321.97	257576	65489	192087	1:1.34
T8	404.7	323760	94516	229244	1:1.41
T9	344.44	275552	78954	196598	1:1.40
T10	307.3	245840	64596	181244	1:1.35

# CONCLUSION

On the basis of present investigation, it may be concluded that cabbage responded well in terms of growth, yield and quality to treatment T8 having Green ball + Poultry Manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg/ha. This treatment resulted in maximum head diameter (23.23 cm), head size(17.93 cm), net head weight (1,052 gm), Head yield plot<sup>-1</sup> (33.49 kg), head yield (40.47 ton ha<sup>-1</sup>), head compactness (43.57),self life (11.54 days) net return Rs 229244 and B:C.ratio (1.41) followed by T<sub>9</sub> (Green ball + Pig Manure@5t/ha + Azotobacter@2.5kg /ha) and T7 (Green ball + Vermicompost@5t/ha + Azotobacter @2.5kg/ha), net return Rs 196598 with B:C ratio 1.40. So these varieties with fertilizer dose can be recommended for commercial cultivation in Sehore condition.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Acharya, U, Thapa, S. and Sharma, N. (2015). Study on the growth, yield and soil nutrient status of broccoli under organic nutrient management. *International Journal of Current Research*,**7**(2): 13029-13032.
- Alam, M.A.U., Hoque, M.E., Laily, U.K., Khatun, M.U.S., Islam, M.K and Mollah, S.H. (2017). Growth and yield performance of cabbage under different combinations of vermicompost and fertilizers. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Biological Sciences*, . 4(6): 79-86.
- 3. Amit Kumar and Archana Khare (2015). Nutrient management in cabbage for higher production in Bundelkhand region of Uttar Pradesh. Annals of Plant and Soil Research, **17**(1): 33-36.
- 4. Anant, B.; Singh J. and Singh, K. P. (2004). Response of cabbage to organic manures and biofertilizers. *Indian Journal of Horticulture*. **61**(3): 278-279.
- 5. Anonymous (2016). Third advance estimates of year 2016, Indian Horticulture Database. National Horticulture Board Gurgaon. pp. 7.
- 6. Bahadur, A.,Singh, J, Upadhyay, A.K. and Singh, K.P. (2003). Effect of organic manure and biofertilizer on growth, yield and quality attributes of broccoli. *Vegetable Science*, **30**(2): 192194.
- 7. Chaterjee, B., Ghanti, P., Thapa, U. and Tripathy, P. (2005). Effect of organic nutrition in sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *Italica* Plenck). *Vegetable Science*, **32**(1): 51-54.

- 8. Chatterjee R., Bandhopadhyay S.and Jana J.C. (2014). Organic amendments influencing growth, head yield and nitrogen use efficiency in cabbage (*Brassica Oleracea Var. Capitata* L.) *American International Journal of Research In Formal, Applied & Natural Sciences* 5 (1): 90-95.
- Chaudhary S. K., Yadav S. K., Mahto D. K., Sharma R. P. and Kumar Mahesh. (2018). Response of Growth, Yield Attributes and Yield of Cabbage (Brassica oleracea var. capitata) to Different Organic and Inorganic Sources of Nutrients in Magadha Plain of Bihar. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 7: 4748-4756.
- Devi Sajana, Choudhary Maliram, Jat Priynka Kumari, Singh SP and Rolaniya Manoj Kumar. (2017). Influenced of organic and biofertilizers on yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, **5**(4): 818-820.
- 11. Goswami, R.K; Nath, A.K. and Talukdar, M. C. (2004). Integrated nutrient supply systems on growth and yield of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae* var. *capitata*). Abstracts, National seminar on horticulture forsustainable income and environmental protection, pp. 54.
- 12. Hasan Mohammad Rezaul and Solaiman AHM. (2012). Efficacy of organic and organic fertilizer on the growth of *Brassica oleracea* L. (cabbage). *Intl J Agri Crop Sci.*, **4** (3): 128-138.
- Kumar Dileep, Kumar Sanjay, Meena Rakesh Kumar and Verma Shashank. (2017). Effect of Organic and Inorganic Fertilizers on Growth, Yield and Quality of Cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata). Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.5 (5): 1590-1593.
- 14. Kumar Pradeep, Kumar Sanjay, Meena Rakesh Kumar, Kumar Rajeev and Rawat Ranjeet. (2017). Efficacy of biofertilizers on growth, yield and quality of sprouting broccoli (Brassica oleracea var. Italic plank)", cv. Pusa broccoli KTS-1. *Plant Archives*, **17** (2): 1647-1650.
- 15. Pandey, A.K., Mishra, Rahul Kumar and Rai, Mathura (2008). Influence of soil amendments and *Azotobacter* on growth and yield of broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *Italica*). Vegetable Science, **35**(2): 165-168.
- Pandey, M, Solanki, V.P.S. and Singh, O. (2007 b). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake in cabbage and soil fertility. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*, 9 (2): 136-138.
- 17. Pandey, Manoj, Solanki, V.P.S. and Singh, Sandeep. (2007 a). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake in cauliflower and soil fertility. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*, **9**(2): 159-161.
- 18. Panse, V.C. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1967). Statistical methods for agricultural workers. ICAR Publications, New Delhi.
- 19. Raghav, M. and Shashi Kamal (2007). Effect of VAM and inorganic fertilizers on growth, yield and quality of sprouting broccoli (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *Italica* Plenck). *Environment and Ecology*, **25**(4): 919-921.
- 20. Rai, M and Pandey, A. K., 2007. Hybrid vegetables. The Hindu Survey of India Agriculture, pp-27.
- 21. Ranjit Chatterjee; Jana .J. C; Paul. P. K. (2012). Enhancement of head yield and quality of cabbage (*Brassica oleraceae*) by combining different sources of nutrients. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*;**8 2**: 4, 323-327..
- Sajib Kumazr, Dash Prosanta Kumar, Adhikary Bipradas and Mannan Md. Abdul. (2015). Yield Performance of Cabbage under Different Combinations of Manures and Fertilizers. World Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 11 (6): 411-422.
- 23. Sharma, Akhilesh, Parma, D.K., Pardeep Kumar, Singh, Yudhvir and Sharma, Raj Paul (2008). *Azotobacter* soil amendment integrated withcow manure reduces need for NPK fertilizers in sprouting broccoli. *International Journal of Vegetable Science*, **14**(3): 273-285.
- 24. Singh, A., Mali, S. and Kumar, S. (2014). Effect of biofertilizer on yield and bio-molecules of anti-cancerous vegetable broccoli. *International Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management*, **5**(2): 262.
- Singh, Anil K., Karma Beer an Pal, Akhilesh Kumar (2015 a). Effect of vermicompost and biofertilizers on strawberry I : Growth, flowering and yield. *Annals of Plant Soil Research*, 17(2): 196-199.
- 26. Singh, Jagdish , Upadhyay, A.K. , Bhadur ,A. and Singh, Dr. Bijendra (2006) Antioxidant phytochemicals in cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. capitata). Scientia Horticulturae**108** (3):233-237 ·
- 27. Singh, P. and Singh, K.P. (2006). Integrated effect of bioinoculants, organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and yield of cabbage. Crop Res. **32** (2): 188-191.
- 28. Singh, V.N. and Singh, S.S. (2005). Effect of inorganic and bio-fertilization production of cauliflower. *Vegetable Science*, **32**(2): 146-149.
- 29. Upadhyay, A.K.; Anant Bahadur; Jagdish Singh. (2012). Effect of organic manures and biofertilizers on yield, dry matter partitioning and quality traits of cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata*). *Indian Journal of Agricitural Sciences*; **82**: 1, 31-34.
- 30. Verma, Rajhans and Maurya, B.R. (2013). Effect of bio-organics and fertilizers on yield and nutrient uptake by cabbage. *Annals of Plant and Soil Research*, **15**(1): 35-38.